

STATE TREASURER OF NORTH CAROLINA
DALE R. FOLWELL, CPA

Tale 1-Foliable, CPA

GREGORY C. GASKINS

## STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT FINANCE DIVISION AND THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT COMMISSION

#### **Memorandum # 2018-13**

TO: Officials of Municipalities with Electric Systems and Their Independent Auditors

FROM: Sharon Edmundson, Director, Fiscal Management Section

SUBJECT: Statistical Information on Electric System Operations

DATE: June 19, 2018

This publication has been prepared to enable local officials to compare their locality's electric system operations with the operations of other similar municipalities with electric systems as of fiscal year end June 30, 2017. Local officials are encouraged to compare their own performances to similar units and to statewide averages. Such comparisons may identify opportunities for improvement or may indicate improved performances from previous fiscal years. Key items are provided to indicate the comprehensive financial condition of each electric system. To facilitate the analysis of these key items, this report is segregated into the following four tables:

- Table A "Financial Results and Key Ratios of Municipal Electric Systems." This table summarizes the financial results of each municipal electric system for the last four fiscal years and includes key financial ratios.
- Table B "Analysis of Transfers to the General Fund by Municipal Electric Systems and Effects on Property Taxes." This table includes statistics for the last four fiscal years on the extent to which Electric Fund transfers have been used to subsidize the General Fund and the effects on the tax rate of transfers and the unit's ownership of the electric system.
- Table C "Ten Years of Comparative Data on Electric Fund Transfers as a Percentage of General Fund Revenues." This table presents ten years of comparative data to highlight the extent to which Electric Fund transfers have been used to subsidize the General Fund.
- Table D "Analysis of Capital Outlay Expenditures of Municipal Electric Systems." This table includes an analysis of capital outlay expenditures of each municipal electric system for the last five fiscal years. This should assist units in determining if they are adequately funding the maintenance and/or expansion of their electric systems.

To facilitate the analysis of this information and to give an indication of how the statistics in the report are distributed, a statistical analysis is included, which shows the minimum, maximum, mean, median, and standard deviation of key items. For the statistical information in this report to be meaningful, financial

reporting across local governments must be consistent. We are concerned that some local governments may not be using appropriate financial reporting practices in two areas: potential accrual of unbilled electric services, and accounting for reimbursements and quasi-external transactions.

Please note: Data for municipalities whose audits were not completely through our review process or not received by March 9, 2018 are not included in these reports.

#### Accrual of Unbilled Electric Services

Since the Electric Fund operates in a manner similar to a commercial business, it should generally follow financial reporting standards similar to commercial organizations. These standards require that the full accrual basis of accounting be used and that revenues be recorded when they are measurable and earned. In the Electric Fund, revenues and receivables should be accrued at the end of each month for electric services provided to customers even if the customers have not yet been billed. If a municipality has provided services to its customers, it has "earned" the revenues for these services and should record a revenue and receivable. The failure to record such unbilled receivables results in a potential misstatement of the Electric Fund financial statements because expenses that have been incurred are recognized while the related revenues and receivables are not recognized.

#### Accounting for Reimbursements and Quasi-External Transactions

If the General Fund provides administrative services for the Electric Fund, payments for these shared services should be allocated correctly between the two funds. These payments must not be accounted for or reported as transfers. The appropriate accounting treatment involves recording the expenditures or expenses in the reimbursing fund (i.e., Electric Fund – the fund that incurred the expense) and reducing the expenditures or expenses in the fund that is reimbursed (i.e., General Fund). The failure to properly record reimbursements understates the costs of operations in the Electric Fund. In addition, it overstates expenditures in the General Fund, which causes fund balances available for appropriation as a percentage of expenditures to be understated. Incorrectly reporting these reimbursements as transfers to the General Fund also overstates the level of transfers between funds. Please see our Memorandum # 2014-07 for further discussion of how to correctly account for cost allocations: https://www.nctreasurer.com/slg/Memos/2014-07.pdf

Transactions that would be treated as revenues, expenditures, or expenses if they involved organizations external to the municipality, such as certain payments in lieu of taxes (PILOT) from the Electric Fund to the General Fund or sales of electricity to other funds of the municipality should be accounted for as revenues, expenditures, or expenses in the funds involved. Units that have adopted transfer policies often base payments in lieu of taxes upon the gross value of capital assets and the tax rate of the unit. These PILOTs should be treated as transfers but should be segregated from other operating transfers out from the Electric Fund. The municipal use of electricity for street lighting should be recorded as operating revenues in the Electric Fund and as expenditures in the General Fund. In addition, a similar entry should be made for the use of electricity by a Water and Sewer Fund.

Municipalities that are members of the N.C. Eastern Municipal Power Agency and N.C. Municipal Power Agency No. 1 have adopted transfer policies that limit the amount of transfers that can be made from the Electric Fund to the General Fund, authorize payments in lieu of taxes by the Electric Fund and authorize transfers to an electric rate stabilization fund. In addition, municipalities in the Eastern agency have additional limitations imposed by G.S. 159B-39. This statute limits transfers to 3% of the gross capital assets as they stood at the end of the prior fiscal year or 5% of the gross annual revenue from the previous fiscal year, whichever is less. Inappropriate reporting of reimbursements, transfers and quasi-external

transactions between funds may limit the ability management, citizens and other financial statement users to reach proper conclusions about the unit's compliance with its transfer policy.

Since reimbursements, transfers and quasi-external transactions may be material to the financial statements, auditors should be cognizant of these issues when conducting audits of municipalities that operate electric systems. In addition to being alert to possible misclassification of transactions, the auditor should determine that reimbursements are based upon costs and a reasonable allocation of expenses.

Each year our staff reviews the reports of municipalities that are members of the power agencies to determine that each unit is in compliance with its transfer policy. During our review of those reports, we found that some units are transferring money from the Electric Fund to a fund other than the General Fund. These transfers are not addressed in the transfer policy. The staff will consider these transfers as they review the financial practices of the unit for determining if approval of a debt issue can be recommended to the Local Government Commission. Transfers made to other funds that will provide a long-term economic benefit to the unit will not negatively impact that review. If a unit transfers money to a fund and that fund transfers money to the General Fund, we will consider the transfer as having been made directly to the General Fund for determining compliance with the transfer policy. Under GASB Statement 34 payments in lieu of taxes that are based on the tax rate of the unit are now accounted for as transfers and are not to be counted in applying the transfer policy.

#### How to Interpret Statistics in this Report

In analyzing the statistics in this memorandum, the amounts for a particular unit should be compared to similar units, to statewide averages, and to national performance indicators published by organizations such as the credit rating agencies. In addition, the mean and standard deviation statistics should be analyzed to determine if the amounts for a unit are significantly above or below the amounts reported by other units. The values reported for a unit may be significantly out of line if they are more than one standard deviation above or below the mean. If an amount is determined to be significantly out of line, the reasons for the variance should be investigated. However a significant deviation from the mean is not necessarily an indication of a financial weakness, but instead may be an indication of a significant event having taken place, such as an expansion of the electric system or the occurrence of abnormal weather. It should be noted that even though there may be variations from one unit to another in some of the accounting policies used to arrive at the figures presented in this report, the effects of such variations should not materially affect the overall comparability of these statistics. In analyzing the attached tables, the following items should be considered:

## Table A - Financial Results and Key Ratios of Municipal Electric Systems

(Note: See "Key to Financial Statistics and Ratios" at the end of this table.)

#### Financial Results

a. <u>Electric power purchases and other operating expenses.</u> Units should be working to control expenses in the Electric Fund, particularly within the category "Other Operating Expenses," which is the major expense area within a unit's control. Although the largest operating expense item is "Electric Power Purchases," this amount is not entirely within a unit's control since the wholesale rates are set by the power agency. If the percentage of electric power purchases is significantly above other units, it may be that cost increases imposed by the power agency have not been passed on to customers but instead have been absorbed by the Electric Fund, or possibly that an effective load management system has not been implemented. Because of the changes in the utility industry, units may be forced to absorb future cost increases to remain competitive with investor-owned utilities.

- b. <u>Operating margin</u>. This ratio is an indicator of the profitability of the electric operating activities. If a unit's operating margin is significantly below the amounts for other similar units, it may be an indication that user fees are too low or that operating expenses are too high.
- c.1 <u>Transfers out.</u> This ratio shows the transfers made to all other funds of the municipality. A positive ratio indicates that the Electric Fund is being used to subsidize other funds. The higher the ratio, the more support the Electric Fund is providing to other funds.
- c.2 <u>Transfers in.</u> This ratio shows the transfers made from all other funds of the municipality into the Electric Fund. The higher the ratio the more support the Electric Fund is receiving.

Transfers in and out have now been broken out as two separate items in the database. The net of the two ratios gives an indication of the extent to which the Electric Fund is being used to support other funds or is being supported by other funds. If "transfers out" less "transfers in" is negative, it shows that the Electric fund is being supported by other funds. As a goal, units should only make transfers out of the Electric Fund to other funds if they have met their working capital needs and have sufficient reserves for rate stabilization purposes and capital outlays.

d. <u>Net income before capital contributions.</u> This ratio is an indicator of the overall profitability of the electric system after payments are made for interest on long-term debt and miscellaneous expenses.

#### **Key Ratios**

- e. **Quick ratio.** This ratio gives an indication of the Electric Fund's ability to pay its current bills, thereby providing a measure of short-term liquidity. Because the quick ratio is snapshot of a utility's liquidity at a point in time, it may vary considerably throughout the year. A widely accepted minimum benchmark for the ratio of quick assets to current liabilities is 2 to 1; in other words, an electric system should have at least \$2 in quick assets for each \$1 of current liabilities. A quick ratio that is significantly below this level may be explained in part by excessive transfers being made from the Electric Fund.
- f. <u>Coverage ratio.</u> This ratio is a measure of the degree of protection creditors have from a default on debt obligations. As the ratio approaches 1 to 1, there is a greater risk that the Electric Fund will not be able to make its debt service payments and power purchases from its current year's cash flows.
- g. <u>Days sales in receivables</u>. This ratio gives an indication of how quickly payments are being collected. Each unit should have procedures in place to ensure that electric customers are making payments within the prescribed due date. If this ratio is much greater than the maximum number of days allowed before payment is due, the unit may be inefficient in collecting payments from its customers. The inability to convert receivables into cash on a timely basis negatively affects cash flows, and therefore, investment earnings. Situations where the "Days Sales in Receivables" ratio is significantly lower than the maximum number of days allowed may indicate that units have not accrued unbilled receivables at the end of the fiscal year.
- h. <u>Days cash on hand.</u> This ratio provides an indication of the adequacy of an electric system's unrestricted cash and investment balances. The Electric Fund needs to maintain adequate cash and investment balances to enable it to finance its operations, respond to changing market conditions, survive a prolonged economic downturn, or to take advantage of strategic opportunities. A unit whose "Days Cash on Hand" ratio is significantly below the averages presented in this report may find that its cash reserves are inadequate. A below average ratio may be an indication that large transfers have

been made to other funds. Also, it may indicate that a rate stabilization fund is not being maintained and/or that sufficient reserves for future capital outlays are not being set aside.

# Table B - Analysis of Transfers to the General Fund by Municipal Electric Systems and Effects on Property Taxes (Note: See "Key to Definitions and Formulas" at the end of this table.)

- i. This table shows the actual transfers from the Electric Fund to the General Fund for the last four years in dollars, as a percentage of Electric Fund Capital assets, and as a tax rate equivalent. The staff of the Local Government Commission recommends that each power agency participant adopts a transfer policy and that transfers not exceed 3 percent of gross capital assets. (See Memo #814 for LGC guidelines on developing a transfer policy.) In situations where a unit's transfers are significantly greater than 3 percent of gross Capital assets, the unit may face the need for significant increases in property taxes and/or large budget cuts in future years.
- Units with electric systems that are making substantial transfers to the General Fund should determine if their costs of providing general governmental services are in line with the costs incurred by non-electric municipalities of a similar size. To assist in making this determination, Table B includes a computation of what the unit's tax rate would have to be in order for the General Fund to operate without Electric Fund transfers. Also, this table presents the corresponding average tax rate for non-electric municipalities of a similar size. The tax rates presented in the last three columns of this table have been adjusted by multiplying the tax rate by the assessment-to-sales ratio of the county in which a unit is located. (Note: An assessment-to-sales ratio is calculated annually for each county by the N.C. Department of Revenue. This ratio is based on a sample of selected real estate transactions within a county and equals the assessed valuation divided by the actual sales price. This adjustment makes tax rates between units more comparable, given that units are at different points in their revaluation cycles.) If a unit's tax rate without Electric Fund transfers is significantly above the nonelectric average, then the unit may be providing an above average level of general governmental services, incurring higher costs to provide a basic level of general governmental services, or may not be fully utilizing all available General Fund revenue sources. The electric fund transfers as tax rate equivalent and the tax rate without Electric Fund transfers could be overstated as a result of the unit using transfers to reimburse the General Fund for administrative services rather than using the proper accounting for reimbursements. (See "Accounting for Reimbursements and Quasi-External Transactions" above.) The local governing board and management team should immediately investigate the cause of any significant tax rate variations from comparable non-electric municipalities.
- k. The first part of this table presents statistics on the gross capital assets of the Electric Fund and the estimated loss in property tax revenues that results from the unit's ownership of the utility. Local officials can use this information in estimating a payment-in-lieu of taxes amount from the Electric Fund to the General Fund. However, this estimate would normally exceed the amount of property taxes that would have been paid by an investor-owned utility since the N.C. Department of Revenue reduces the cost amounts of utility assets in calculating the assessed values subject to taxation. This reduction is determined according to complex guidelines specified in the General Statutes. In addition, the gross capital assets amount used in this calculation was not reduced for Electric Fund Capital assets located outside the unit's corporate boundaries.

# Table C - Ten Years of Comparative Data on Electric Fund Transfers as a Percentage of General Fund Revenues

l. Units making large transfers from the Electric Fund to the General Fund should be looking for ways to reduce the level of transfers made each year. This memorandum includes an analysis of transfers

made over the last ten fiscal years, which can be used to determine if the General Fund has been reducing its reliance on Electric Fund transfers.

#### Table D - Analysis of Capital Outlay Expenditures of Municipal Electric Systems

This table shows capital outlays made in each of the last five fiscal years. Units should continue to make capital improvements to their electric systems and are encouraged to utilize sound management practices by adopting long-range capital improvement plans to address their projected needs. Situations where capital outlays are not being made on a consistent basis might indicate the lack of a capital improvement plan.

For further information or assistance, please contact Rita Baker at (919) 814-4303 or via email at rita.baker@nctreasurer.com.

					ial Results	10	D			T7 T	<b>.</b>	
		m . 1		s a % of Tota	l Electric Fu	ind Operat	ing Keven			Key I		
	Fiscal	Total Operating	Electric Power	Other Operating	Operating				Quick	Coverage	Sales in	Days Cash
Unit	Year	Revenues	Purchases	Expenses	Margin	Out	<u>In</u>	Cap Contr	Ratio	Ratio	Receivables	on Hand
C. Eastern Mu	nicipal P	ower Agency Pa	articipants									
Apex	2017	\$ 36,224,279	67.3	26.6	6.1	-	-	5.9	2.9	1.16	60.8	92.4
	2016	33,298,712	66.4	26.7	6.9	-	-	6.5	2.9	1.17	59.7	101.3
	2015	32,646,455	71.4	25.0	3.6	-	-	3.0	2.9	1.10	65.4	77.8
	2014	31,598,825	71.6	21.2	7.2	-	0.5	7.1	3.1	1.14	72.0	78.5
Ayden	2017 11,413,7	11,413,703	68.1	27.6	4.3	5.3	-	(1.2)	6.2	1.12	44.9	197.6
	2016	10,974,130	68.3	25.2	6.5	3.9	-	2.6	4.4	1.12	40.0	158.1
	2015	13,031,644	76.2	16.1	7.7	3.3	-	4.5	4.1	1.12	42.5	123.7
	2014	13,136,959	82.4	16.9	0.7	3.2	-	(2.4)	6.6	1.03	47.4	133.1
Belhaven	2017	2,263,326	66.7	29.9	3.4	-	-	3.4	2.5	1.06	38.6	29.6
	2016	2,338,257	64.8	24.9	10.2	-	-	10.2	2.0	1.17	36.7	20.1
	2015	2,700,017	75.5	24.2	0.4	-	-	0.4	0.8	1.01	60.8 59.7 65.4 72.0 44.9 40.0 42.5 47.4 38.6	-
	2014	2,901,614	76.6	22.0	1.3	1.0	-	0.3	1.5	1.03	66.7	-
Benson	2017	4,570,486	65.8	29.1	5.1	3.8	-	2.3	3.0	1.11	34.5	40.4
	2016	4,567,214	64.2	31.2	4.6	7.5	7.2	4.3	2.9	1.09	37.0	41.3
	2015	5,130,865	75.2	21.7	3.1	8.3	-	(5.1)	2.1	1.06	49.0	8.8
	2014	4,676,534	84.4	14.2	1.4	-	-	1.5	2.7	1.03	54.1	21.5
Clayton	2017	12,976,188	85.0	11.0	3.9	-	-	4.7	2.4	1.06	43.1	131.7
	2016	12,365,782	82.6	10.2	7.2	-	-	8.0	3.1	1.09	40.7	145.7
	2015	12,703,364	88.1	7.7	4.2	-	-	4.7	3.8	1.06	45.9	115.4
	2014	12,577,427	77.7	23.5	(1.2)	-	-	(0.4)	2.8	1.02		110.9
Edenton	2017	12,070,443	69.4	24.8	5.8	2.9	-	2.9	1.7	1.10		40.9
	2016	12,228,263	66.7	22.8	10.5	2.8	-	7.7	2.4	1.17		44.2
	2015	13,281,619	77.2	19.9	3.0	2.5	-	0.4	1.4	1.05	36.3	14.3
	2014	13,391,656	82.4	16.0	1.6	2.7	-	1.7	1.3	1.07	37.9	5.3
Elizabeth City	2017	31,994,329	77.6	20.5	1.9	2.9	-	(0.6)	3.2	1.05		48.2
	2016	31,492,814	74.4	19.3	6.3	2.9	0.5	3.7	4.4	1.12	39.4	91.0
	2015	38,350,808	80.4	14.6	5.0	2.4	-	2.5	2.7	1.09	Days Sales in Receivables  60.8 59.7 65.4 72.0 44.9 40.0 42.5 47.4 38.6 36.7 37.9 66.7 34.5 37.0 49.0 54.1 43.1 40.7 45.9 43.6 15.7 36.7 36.3 37.9 70.9 39.4 45.9	57.4
	2014	38,351,615	82.2	15.8	2.1	2.7	-	(0.7)	2.8	1.05	41.2	44.7

				Financ	cial Results							
				s a % of Tota	ıl Electric Fu	ınd Operati	ng Revent	ues		Key I	Ratios	
Unit	Fiscal Year	Total Operating Revenues	Electric Power Purchases	Other Operating Expenses	Operating Margin	Transfers '	Γransfers In	Net Inc Before Cap Contr	Quick Ratio	Coverage Ratio	Days Sales in Receivables	Days Cash on Hand
.C. Eastern M	Iunicipal Po	ower Agency Pa	rticipants (c	ontinued)								
Farmville	2017	6,569,421	67.3	23.3	9.4	5.0	-	3.4	3.3	1.13	47.7	69.2
	2016	6,579,826	64.1	22.5	13.3	4.5	-	8.1	3.1	1.19	46.4	66.3
	2015	7,261,538	75.3	17.9	6.8	4.2	-	1.7	2.1	1.09	48.3	27.3
	2014	7,200,965	78.5	17.0	4.4	3.7	-	(1.3)	2.4	1.03	40.8	37.1
Fremont	2017	1,775,365	58.0	24.4	17.6	0.5	-	17.2	47.0	1.31	70.3	51.3
	2016	1,595,304	68.1	28.6	3.3	1.7	-	1.7	4.4	1.06	40.8	12.9
	2015	1,754,905	76.5	23.2	0.2	-	-	0.3	3.0	1.01	41.4	-
	2014	1,720,472	80.3	23.4	(3.8)	3.1	-	(6.9)	2.5	0.96	39.6	5.6
Greenville	2017	175,324,706	73.9	20.9	5.1	3.0	-	3.5	4.5	1.14	41.5	118.4
	2016	180,166,559	69.2	17.9	12.9	3.1	-	10.4	4.2	1.23	40.1	126.7
	2015	198,992,562	79.4	15.3	5.4	2.6	-	2.9	2.8	1.10	42.8	62.4
	2014	201,120,903	82.0	14.7	3.3	2.4	-	1.2	2.9	1.07	40.6	61.8
Hamilton	2017	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2016	396,758	70.6	20.9	8.5	-	-	9.4	13.9	1.14	42.2	600.0
	2015	467,312	77.9	22.5	(0.3)	-	-	2.9	6.8	1.04	46.0	466.1
	2014	465,077	83.9	14.6	1.5	-	-	2.5	6.5	1.05	50.7	478.6
Hertford	2017	2,999,241	75.2	17.4	7.4	2.8	-	4.7	2.9	1.13	40.1	75.0
	2016	2,959,088	74.9	17.6	7.4	2.8	-	4.2	3.1	1.12	40.0	52.8
	2015	3,357,731	80.1	15.0	4.8	2.5	-	2.0	1.3	1.08	32.0	17.5
	2014	3,039,737	87.3	16.4	(3.6)	2.8	-	(6.8)	1.6	0.97	30.3	33.0
Hobgood	2017	471,851	64.3	30.2	5.6	-	-	5.6	6.7	1.10	20.5	331.2
	2016	457,928	59.3	28.3	12.4	-	-	12.4	2.8	1.22	22.8	240.7
	2015	505,147	72.6	30.0	(2.6)	-	-	(2.6)	3.8	0.98	29.1	161.8
	2014	562,471	71.1	33.5	(4.6)	0.5	-	(5.2)	4.6	0.95	75.1	130.2
Hookerton	2017	853,452	73.8	27.8	(1.7)	4.9	-	(3.1)	10.8	1.06	46.7	157.5
	2016	887,069	68.3	23.7	8.0	-	-	8.2	10.1	1.15	44.3	179.5
	2015	860,020	82.0	12.3	5.6	-	-	5.8	11.1	1.09	34.4	208.5
	2014	826,323	83.2	12.5	4.3	-	-	4.5	11.3	1.08	33.6	235.9
Kinston	2017	47,868,393	73.4	12.2	14.5	2.0	-	12.4	5.4	1.20	40.4	139.0
	2016	49,518,964	69.4	11.1	19.5	1.7	-	16.8	4.8	1.27	40.0	108.9
	2015	54,906,425	94.8	1.8	3.4	2.0	-	1.0	2.4	1.04	41.3	25.7
	2014	54,753,332	87.1	10.6	2.3	1.5	0.5	0.9	2.0	1.03	43.7	17.6

				Financ			77 7	D 4*				
				s a % of Tota	l Electric Fu	ınd Operati	ng Keveni			Key I		
	Fiscal	Total Operating	Electric Power	Other Operating	Operating	Transfers 7	Transfers	Net Inc Before	Quick	Coverage	Days Sales in	Days Cash
Unit	Year	Revenues	Purchases	Expenses	Margin	Out	In	Cap Contr	Ratio	Ratio	Receivables	on Hand
C. Eastern Mu	nicipal Po	ower Agency Pa	rticipants (c	ontinued)								
La Grange	2017	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2016	3,439,139	66.2	30.2	3.5	4.3	-	0.8	1.8	1.13	8.0	82.4
	2015	3,764,577	75.1	26.5	(1.6)	2.6	-	(3.0)	1.8	1.02	12.4	69.7
	2014	3,844,206	76.8	25.1	(1.9)	1.3	-	(2.3)	2.2	1.01	12.7	59.3
Laurinburg	2017	15,790,182	72.2	19.2	8.6	0.4	-	8.3	2.4	1.14	30.0	33.0
	2016	16,557,435	67.1	17.0	15.8	0.4	-	15.5	1.1	1.25	30.3	14.4
	2015	16,907,526	84.6	17.2	(1.8)	-	-	(1.9)	0.8	1.00	NA 8.0 12.4 12.7 30.0 30.3 30.5 34.3 31.8 27.6 14.5 27.1 52.1 26.3 30.6 27.4 45.7 44.5 44.2 40.6 29.6 33.0 29.7 30.3 42.8 44.1 51.9 47.7 NA 37.1	-
	2014	16,497,645	88.6	16.8	(5.5)	-	-	(7.9)	0.7	0.93	34.3	-
Louisburg	2017	6,973,354	57.3	28.6	14.0	5.1	-	7.1	5.3	1.24	31.8	129.1
	2016	7,465,943	58.1	27.8	14.1	-	-	14.2	3.6	1.28	27.6	102.2
	2015	7,834,216	72.3	25.0	2.7	-	-	(0.6)	1.6	1.03	14.5	32.4
	2014	7,255,883	80.6	25.2	(5.8)	5.0	-	(11.1)	1.3	0.96	27.1	22.7
Lumberton	2017	35,064,670	71.9	14.0	14.1	0.6	-	13.6	5.2	1.22	52.1	91.1
	2016	34,015,763	73.2	13.8	13.0	0.9	-	12.1	2.9	1.20	26.3	67.2
	2015	37,255,820	86.9	13.1	-	0.6	-	(0.6)	1.0	1.02	30.6	14.8
	2014	35,167,848	89.9	14.1	(4.1)	0.4	-	(4.4)	1.0	0.98	27.4	5.9
New Bern	2017	52,680,023	73.7	18.4	7.8	5.0	-	2.7	4.5	1.12	45.7	136.9
	2016	52,734,945	69.5	18.3	12.2	5.8	-	4.9	2.8	1.18	44.5	144.3
	2015	62,405,986	75.4	17.2	7.5	5.1	-	2.2	3.4	1.12	44.2	78.8
	2014	63,763,783	76.4	17.1	6.5	3.1	-	3.6	3.1	1.11	40.6	95.2
Pikeville	2017	1,098,601	67.8	20.8	11.4	-	-	9.9	8.9	1.12	29.6	529.2
	2016	1,121,650	66.1	19.4	14.5	-	-	13.2	8.2	1.17	33.0	494.3
	2015	1,246,789	78.2	13.9	7.9	-	-	6.5	5.2	1.07	29.7	353.0
	2014	1,347,658	75.6	13.8	10.6	-	-	9.2	5.5	1.10	30.3	326.2
Red Springs	2017	4,075,841	68.0	26.5	5.6	0.2	-	5.1	2.6	1.13	42.8	20.0
	2016	3,951,763	68.5	32.4	(0.9)	0.2	-	(0.7)	2.3	1.05	44.1	10.6
	2015	4,517,774	76.3	27.6	(3.9)	0.2	-	(4.1)	1.9	0.99	51.9	-
	2014	4,738,498	78.4	24.7	(3.1)	-	-	(3.0)	1.8	1.00	47.7	9.8
Robersonville	2017	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2016	2,480,591	74.6	19.2	6.2	2.3	-	4.0	8.5	1.10	37.1	332.0
	2015	2,926,770	81.5	17.9	0.6	0.2	-	0.5	9.3	1.02	48.2	239.1
	2014	2,898,312	92.3	17.2	(9.6)	0.2	-	(9.4)	8.7	0.91	39.8	224.9

			<del></del>		cial Results							
				s a % of Tota	l Electric Fu	ınd Operati	ng Reveni			Key I		
	Fiscal	Total Operating	Electric Power	Other Operating	Operating	Transfers 7	Transfers	Net Inc Before	Quick	Coverage	-	Days Cash
Unit	Year	Revenues	Purchases	Expenses	Margin	Out	In	Cap Contr	Ratio	Ratio	Receivables	on Hand
C. Eastern Mu	nicipal Po	ower Agency Pa	rticipants (c	ontinued)								
Rocky Mount	2017	79,960,866	68.4	19.8	11.8	2.6	-	10.1	5.1	1.19	41.9	161.1
·	2016	81,344,061	65.3	19.0	15.7	2.1	_	13.5	4.7	1.24	42.1	133.8
	2015	93,059,344	78.2	15.2	6.7	1.8	-	4.1	2.9	1.08	47.4	63.3
	2014	93,771,709	80.4	14.9	4.7	1.5	-	2.9	2.5	1.05	41.3	52.2
Scotland Neck	2017	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2016	3,436,171	69.5	18.5	12.1	-	-	12.0	9.3	1.20	33.5	167.1
	2015	3,879,707	92.3	1.5	6.2	0.5	-	6.4	5.8	1.09	Days Sales in Receivables  41.9 42.1 47.4 41.3 NA	95.5
	2014	4,015,498	94.3	1.5	4.2	0.1	-	4.2	4.2	1.06	37.5	67.1
Selma	2017	7,788,157	74.6	21.0	4.4	2.2	-	1.6	1.6	1.09	34.3	73.2
	2016	7,427,770	78.9	22.6	(1.5)	2.4	-	(4.6)	1.4	1.02	32.0	65.2
	2015	8,177,431	86.4	18.9	(5.3)	-	-	(6.0)	1.5	0.97	37.7	50.8
	2014	8,317,921	83.1	18.6	(1.7)	2.2	-	(4.8)	1.6	1.00	37.2	59.4
Smithfield	2017	17,252,978	77.6	11.6	10.9	-	-	10.5	5.2	1.16	45.1	215.3
	2016	18,077,147	68.7	16.6	14.6	-	-	14.1	6.1	1.22	54.7	203.6
	2015	19,882,643	80.0	14.4	5.6	-	-	4.9	4.3	1.08	55.9	113.5
	2014	20,006,779	82.2	15.1	2.8	-	-	2.0	4.0	1.04	46.2	93.9
Southport	2017	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2016	7,620,055	58.8	22.3	18.9	-	-	18.9	9.1	1.38	19.9	223.7
	2015	7,712,268	72.3	21.4	6.3	-	-	6.3	6.0	1.13	19.4	186.4
	2014	7,346,683	76.6	17.7	5.7	0.3	-	5.5	5.6	1.12	23.9	177.2
Tarboro	2017	24,095,873	74.1	21.4	4.6	4.5	-	0.5	8.3	1.15	43.7	146.5
	2016	26,117,379	67.9	17.8	14.3	4.2	-	10.2	5.8	1.30	38.6	134.5
	2015	28,955,727	81.3	15.0	3.7	4.1	-	(0.3)	3.1	1.11	46.5	50.3
	2014	28,509,929	84.5	15.1	0.4	4.3	-	(3.6)	2.7	1.07	48.1	32.5
Wake Forest	2017	20,161,026	59.8	36.9	3.3	-	-	3.3	2.6	1.10	44.1	65.6
	2016	20,027,549	57.5	32.8	9.7	1.4	1.4	9.4	2.3	1.21	49.5	63.9
	2015	$19,\!557,\!265$	67.4	31.8	0.7	7.9	7.9	0.8	1.9	1.05	45.1	56.3
	2014	18,068,548	73.2	25.9	0.9	-	-	1.1	2.6	1.07		98.8
Washington	2017	34,184,182	65.7	20.5	13.8	3.7	-	11.7	6.9	1.28	49.3	128.5
	2016	33,679,020	64.0	18.7	17.4	2.5	-	15.2	5.2	1.31	46.6	109.9
	2015	$36,\!521,\!471$	76.7	18.9	4.5	1.6	-	3.3	3.0	1.11	41.9 42.1 47.4 41.3 NA 33.5 42.6 37.5 34.3 32.0 37.7 37.2 45.1 54.7 55.9 46.2 NA 19.9 19.4 23.9 43.7 38.6 46.5 48.1 44.1 49.5 45.1 42.9 49.3 46.6 43.7	56.0
	2014	35,850,137	80.6	18.2	1.2	1.6	0.0	(0.3)	2.9	1.05	43.3	50.1

					cial Results	1.0	. D			77 7	D 41	
				s a % of Tota	l Electric Fu	ınd Operat	ing Keven			Key I	Ratios	
		Total	Electric	Other		_	_	Net Inc			Days	Days
	Fiscal	Operating	Power	Operating	Operating				Quick	Coverage	Sales in	Cash
Unit	Year	Revenues	Purchases	Expenses	Margin	Out	In	Cap Contr	Ratio	Ratio	Receivables	on Hand
C Eastern M	unicinal Pa	ower Agency Pa	rticinants (c	ontinued)								
Wilson	2017	125,560,188	68.9	22.8	8.4	2.9	_	3.7	5.2	1.13	39.0	122.
** 115011	2016	128,277,071	67.6	22.6	9.9	3.7		4.4	4.8	1.15	38.7	126.
	2015	147,011,111	79.0	17.0	4.0	1.9	-	0.6	3.8	1.10	41.9	82.
	2013	145,108,575	82.8	16.6	0.6	1.6	-	(2.9)	3.4	1.04	42.9	87.
~				10.0		1.0		(=.0)		1.00	12.0	
<u>.C. Municipal</u>	Power Age	ency Number 1	<u>Participants</u>									
Albemarle	2017	33,503,443	80.9	17.3	1.7	1.1	0.1	1.0	1.6	1.04	38.2	10.
	2016	33,553,769	90.1	7.0	2.9	2.3	-	0.6	1.5	1.05	42.9	0.
	2015	34,904,849	84.4	14.7	0.9	2.8	0.1	(1.6)	1.5	1.03	41.9	10.
	2014	34,615,161	83.0	15.5	1.5	3.2	0.1	(1.4)	1.7	1.03	41.4	13.
Bostic	2017	412,633	63.4	20.5	16.1	4.1	-	13.1	42.1	1.37	47.9	1,258.
	2016	424,847	58.5	16.0	25.5	4.7	-	21.3	32.5	1.55	47.9	1,309.
	2015	432,623	62.9	13.6	23.5	4.6	-	19.1	30.7	1.47	48.7	1,103.
	2014	432,724	55.1	14.2	30.7	4.6	-	26.3	34.9	1.67	42.5	1,083.
Cherryville	2017	6,355,053	73.3	24.7	2.1	-	-	2.6	3.8	1.06	61.5	51.
J	2016	6,524,854	71.4	23.2	5.4	-	-	4.8	3.4	1.09	61.5	47.
	2015	6,572,409	76.6	21.0	2.4	=	-	3.0	2.9	1.06	54.4	43.
	2014	6,313,411	76.5	17.9	5.6	=	-	5.6	2.3	1.10	56.0	26.
Cornelius	2017	6,545,889	69.8	26.8	3.4	-	-	5.0	4.3	1.16	42.3	120.
	2016	6,479,803	67.9	24.5	7.6	-	-	8.4	3.6	1.21	45.9	118.
	2015	6,201,503	71.1	27.7	1.2	-	-	2.7	2.7	1.12	53.2	80.
	2014	5,690,640	72.5	23.5	4.0	-	-	4.0	3.4	1.14	53.1	92.
Drexel	2017	2,324,762	70.8	31.8	(2.5)	-	-	(2.1)	12.5	0.98	33.1	423.
	2016	2,370,072	68.4	27.5	4.1	-	-	4.1	10.7	1.08	31.6	461
	2015	2,439,820	74.2	25.6	0.2	-	-	0.2	10.8	1.02	30.2	420
	2014	2,410,027	71.3	25.0	3.7	-	-	3.7	12.3	1.07	32.6	432.
Gastonia	2017	78,441,784	79.0	15.3	5.7	2.6	-	3.2	3.5	1.11	43.7	68.
	2016	76,514,684	79.9	14.6	5.6	2.2	_	3.4	3.3	1.10	47.1	68.
	2015	75,350,298	86.3	13.8	(0.1)	2.2	_	(2.3)	2.8	1.03	50.8	54.
	2014	75,152,951	83.4	14.7	1.8	2.7	_	(0.7)	3.3	1.05	50.2	63.

					cial Results	1.0	D.			77 7		
				s a % of Tota	l Electric Fu	ınd Operati	ng Keveni			Key I		
	Fiscal	Total Operating	Electric Power	Other Operating	Operating	Transfers	Transfers	Net Inc Before	Quick	Coverage	Days Sales in	Days Cash
Unit	Year	Revenues	Purchases	Expenses	Margin	Out	In	Cap Contr	Ratio	Ratio	Receivables	on Hand
C. Municipal	Power Age	ency Number 1	Participants	(continued)								
Granite Falls	2017	6,725,889	69.9	28.7	1.4	-	-	1.5	7.8	1.07	52.4	174.9
	2016	6,690,133	69.0	26.2	4.7	-	-	4.8	7.6	1.12		185.0
	2015	6,636,639	73.4	25.4	1.1	-	-	1.2	6.8	1.06		166.4
	2014	6,444,853	73.0	19.2	7.8	-	-	7.8	7.5	1.15		168.7
High Point	2017	129,468,690	76.7	19.7	3.6	4.7	-	(1.0)	5.3	1.09		159.4
_	2016	126,976,475	77.2	18.9	3.9	1.6	-	3.0	6.0	1.10	42.6	160.9
	2015	129,366,988	80.2	18.2	1.6	1.5	-	0.7	4.7	1.07	52.4 52.6 51.5 54.4 39.9	129.8
	2014	126,011,900	80.1	16.5	3.4	1.6	-	1.2	4.9	1.08	43.9	134.2
Huntersville	2017	19,145,281	76.5	16.2	7.3	-	-	7.3	3.5	1.16	44.2	102.0
	2016	16,685,597	79.1	17.2	3.7	-	-	3.7	3.2	1.11	45.6	97.5
	2015	15,949,422	78.4	17.5	4.1	-	-	4.1	3.3	1.12	47.8	102.7
	2014	14,313,731	82.1	15.3	2.5	-	-	2.5	3.4	1.10	47.4	95.5
Landis	2017	6,387,302	64.2	42.2	(6.4)	-	-	(6.3)	2.5	0.94	46.2	13.9
	2016	6,605,417	61.2	36.6	2.1	4.5	-	(2.4)	3.1	1.07	41.3	34.7
	2015	6,748,677	64.6	30.7	4.7	4.3	-	0.5	3.0	1.11	42.3	39.6
	2014	6,310,445	66.9	27.2	5.9	4.3	-	1.6	3.3	1.12	38.4	52.8
Lexington	2017	50,797,110	78.0	15.7	6.2	2.9	-	3.1	2.7	1.08	46.5	55.3
	2016	51,300,549	79.2	17.0	3.8	4.2	-	(0.6)	2.3	1.05	47.2	50.5
	2015	53,679,028	82.6	12.2	5.2	5.2	-	(0.4)	2.5	1.06	50.5	45.8
	2014	54,320,797	79.4	15.7	4.9	2.8	1.8	3.8	2.6	1.07	50.3	35.8
Lincolnton	2017	7,918,630	75.6	18.4	6.0	0.3	-	5.9	4.3	1.10	33.1	196.2
	2016	7,793,578	76.8	19.7	3.4	0.3	-	3.2	5.4	1.06	31.3	175.6
	2015	7,943,099	80.7	20.8	(1.5)	0.3	-	(1.8)	5.1	0.99	33.6	151.0
	2014	7,677,848	80.3	18.7	1.0	0.3	-	0.7	5.5	1.03	35.3	151.9
Maiden	2017	7,580,777	86.3	9.9	3.8	-	-	3.8	57.4	1.07		61.9
	2016	7,089,849	86.6	10.9	2.5	5.3	-	(2.8)	53.2	1.05	29.0	80.6
	2015	6,823,934	98.0	1.9	0.1	-	-	0.1	44.5	1.02	31.8	91.2
	2014	6,361,844	91.4	8.0	0.6	0.1	-	0.6	22.0	1.03		110.8
Monroe	2017	65,558,699	79.7	10.3	10.0	0.7	-	6.7	7.2	1.12	50.0	286.5
	2016	$62,\!521,\!587$	80.3	11.1	8.6	3.9	-	7.3	8.6	1.17	41.5	341.7
	2015	65,725,126	80.5	10.7	8.8	-	0.1	8.9	8.6	1.13	42.9	298.5
	2014	58,028,523	82.6	11.5	6.0	0.1	-	5.9	7.3	1.10	31.3	287.7

			<del></del> .		cial Results	10						
				s a % of Tota	l Electric Fu	ınd Operati	ng Reven			Key I		
		Total	Electric	Other				Net Inc				Days
	Fiscal	Operating	Power	Operating		Transfers '	Fransfers		Quick	Coverage		$\mathbf{Cash}$
Unit	Year	Revenues	Purchases	Expenses	Margin	Out	In	Cap Contr	Ratio	Ratio	Receivables	on Hand
I.C. Municipal	Power Age	ency Number 1	Participants	(continued)								
Morganton	2017	32,767,824	85.5	12.6	1.8	2.1	-	(0.1)	3.7	1.05	43.0	86.8
	2016	33,452,210	84.6	12.3	3.0	2.0	-	1.3	2.6	1.06	44.1	50.0
	2015	34,615,760	89.0	11.3	(0.3)	1.9	-	(1.9)	3.3	1.02	46.4	42.9
	2014	34,537,320	84.2	13.3	2.5	2.0	-	1.0	3.3	1.06	46.6	51.8
Newton	2017	14,742,720	73.8	28.1	(1.9)	-	-	2.9	2.9	1.03	35.1	101.4
	2016	14,806,776	73.2	26.8	0.0	-	-	4.5	2.7	1.05	35.1	97.8
	2015	15,016,771	76.2	26.6	(2.8)	-	13.3	15.6	3.3	2.7     1.05     35.1       3.3     1.02     35.5       2.9     1.06     34.7       7.3     1.06     52.4       9.7     1.06     53.2       6.9     1.02     52.6       4.1     1.08     52.5       3.8     1.09     54.7	138.1	
	2014	15,205,179	72.6	22.9	4.5	-	0.7	6.5	2.9	1.06	34.7	100.1
Pineville	2017	12,072,546	83.4	14.6	2.0	0.3	-	2.0	257.3	1.06	52.4	84.3
	2016	11,883,478	84.5	14.0	1.5	0.2	-	1.5	349.7	1.06	53.2	119.5
	2015	11,973,701	89.4	11.9	(1.3)	0.1	-	(1.3)	56.9	1.02	52.4 53.2 52.6 52.5 54.7	138.2
	2014	12,115,285	85.9	10.4	3.7	0.2	-	3.5	84.1	1.08	52.5	145.7
Shelby	2017	22,641,006	71.6	22.0	6.4	4.5	-	1.5	3.8	1.09	54.7	68.8
	2016	21,981,343	73.6	19.7	6.7	2.7	-	3.6	3.1	1.09	46.7	92.3
	2015	22,286,326	77.4	20.6	1.9	2.4	-	(1.0)	2.5	1.03	55.3	64.1
	2014	21,468,268	77.3	14.8	7.9	2.5	-	5.1	3.1	1.09	53.4	73.7
Statesville	2017	48,232,363	81.1	12.0	6.9	0.2	-	7.0	6.3	1.12	47.1	169.1
	2016	46,535,100	84.2	10.8	5.0	0.6	-	5.5	5.2	1.11	48.3	146.2
	2015	47,341,862	87.8	11.3	0.9	0.6	-	1.3	4.5	1.05	48.6	121.6
	2014	47,123,986	86.6	11.1	2.3	2.0	-	1.6	4.1	1.07	48.2	122.6
ther Units wit	h Electric	Systems										
Black Creek	2017	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2016	1,559,963	55.6	20.7	23.7	2.1	-	21.6	34.7	1.47		908.8
	2015	1,603,288	64.0	23.5	12.5	-	_	12.6	16.9	1.23		697.7
	2014	1,592,448	67.2	22.6	10.3	-	_	10.4	17.1	1.19		657.4
Concord	2017	84,473,951	68.8	28.2	3.0	0.8	-	1.7	9.3	1.07		311.9
23110014	2016	82,086,937	57.6	23.1	19.3	0.7	_	18.4	9.8	1.36		345.6
	2015	81,118,401	62.7	23.4	13.9	0.8	_	12.4	7.9	1.24	44.1 46.4 46.6 35.1 35.1 35.5 34.7 52.4 53.2 52.6 52.5 54.7 46.7 55.3 53.4 47.1 48.3 48.6	270.1
	2014	80,753,191	70.1	21.6	8.3	0.7	_	7.2	7.6	1.14		249.5

				Financ	cial Results							
			A	s a % of Tota	l Electric Fu	ınd Operati	ng Revenu	ies		Key I	Ratios	
Unit	Fiscal Year	Total Operating Revenues	Electric Power Purchases	Other Operating Expenses	Operating Margin	Transfers 7	Γransfers In	Net Inc Before Cap Contr	Quick Ratio	Coverage Ratio	Days Sales in Receivables	Days Cash on Hand
Other Units with												
Dallas	2017	8,534,618	59.4	24.9	15.6	15.2	-	0.5	5.9	1.34	74.2	137.1
	2016	8,744,786	54.2	24.3	21.5	19.2	-	2.2	9.3	1.47	88.6	125.4
	2015	8,767,109	56.0	20.8	23.2	13.6	-	9.5	8.2	1.45	87.9	134.3
	2014	7,181,077	63.8	25.1	11.1	6.9	-	(3.5)	7.1	1.11	56.4	216.4
Enfield	2017	3,539,727	49.3	19.6	31.2	2.3	-	29.1	13.9	1.65	51.6	963.7
	2016	4,039,950	63.6	17.8	18.6	9.0	-	9.8	11.0	1.31	51.5	580.8
	2015	$4,\!278,\!195$	65.3	13.0	21.7	9.7	-	12.2	10.3	1.35	56.1	511.7
	2014	4,304,807	61.5	14.0	24.5	10.8	-	14.0	10.1	1.42	52.0	470.1
Fayetteville	2017	225,828,018	62.8	21.3	15.9	1.2	-	8.7	4.5	1.25	56.4	170.4
	2016	221,667,773	63.6	22.4	14.0	1.4	-	7.5	5.8	1.24	55.3	185.5
	2015	223,663,832	70.3	20.1	9.6	5.7	-	3.7	4.5	1.22	48.4	151.2
	2014	217,853,786	74.9	21.9	3.2	5.7	-	(2.3)	4.1	1.13	48.8	143.6
Forest City	2017	11,961,140	53.1	19.3	27.6	25.4	-	2.3	11.1	1.59	61.8	311.8
	2016	11,877,178	50.8	17.1	32.1	25.3	-	6.9	11.8	1.71	67.9	271.5
	2015	$12,\!106,\!515$	51.2	15.9	32.9	23.4	-	9.6	9.7	1.72	67.4	200.1
	2014	12,311,205	52.8	14.8	32.4	28.6	-	4.3	8.3	1.69	61.9	126.1
Fountain	2017	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2016	627,157	44.8	51.7	3.6	-	-	3.6	28.0	1.18	43.5	524.9
	2015	625,764	46.9	51.5	1.6	-	-	1.6	24.7	1.15	42.4	493.3
	2014	641,092	45.6	48.5	5.9	-	-	5.9	25.5	1.22	44.1	491.4
Highlands	2017	5,670,414	49.8	29.0	21.1	21.9	-	(16.6)	15.4	1.15	38.3	417.7
	2016	5,643,447	48.9	26.8	24.3	10.8	-	13.5	228.8	1.53	39.9	405.5
	2015	5,719,976	52.7	24.0	23.3	12.0	-	11.3	26.6	1.48	41.5	352.6
	2014	5,422,450	55.6	21.9	22.5	4.8	-	17.7	18.8	1.44	43.5	306.5
Kings Mountai	n 2017	13,539,922	60.1	26.8	13.0	16.6	-	(3.6)	4.1	1.24	35.3	121.2
	2016	13,006,728	47.8	22.7	29.4	17.3	-	12.1	3.6	1.60	32.3	91.5
	2015	12,490,217	54.2	24.7	21.1	15.3	-	5.7	2.5	1.38	80.4	52.8
	2014	13,304,633	64.7	23.2	12.1	13.7	-	(1.7)	2.7	1.22	54.0	73.2
Lucama	2017	2,333,946	62.1	29.2	8.7	-	-	9.0	52.8	1.18	96.4	757.3
	2016	2,159,150	62.5	28.8	8.7	1.2	-	7.7	26.9	1.19	96.2	784.3
	2015	2,361,188	69.8	28.1	2.1	-	-	2.2	24.2	1.07	87.2	636.8
	2014	2,191,729	80.2	26.2	(6.4)	-	-	(6.2)	6.4	0.95	82.6	716.5

				Financ	cial Results							
			A	s a % of Tota	l Electric Fu	ınd Operati	ng Revent	ies		Key I	Ratios	
	Fiscal	Total Operating	Electric Power	Other Operating	Operating	Transfers	Transfers	Net Inc Before	Quick	Coverage	Days Sales in	Days Cash
Unit	Year	Revenues	Purchases	Expenses	Margin	Out	In	Cap Contr	Ratio	Ratio	Receivables	on Hand
0.1 11 1.		g , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	1\									
Other Units with		Systems (contin	<u>iued)</u>									
Macclesfield	2017	449.169	- 65.5	33.5	1.0	-	-	1.0	10.8	1.04	48.5	179.2
	2016	443,163	65.5 74.9		(5.2)	-	-		7.8	0.95	48.5 51.7	151.6
	2015	488,252		30.2		-	-	(5.1)		0.95		
Oak City	$\frac{2014}{2017}$	494,804	76.9 71.1	26.9 15.9	(3.9)	15.7	-	(3.8)	8.4 34.5	1.25	49.9 58.0	185.6 835.5
Оак Спу		382,896	71.1	11.6		15.7 $17.2$	-		$34.5 \\ 37.7$	1.25	58.0 54.1	
	2016	377,592	71.4	15.8	17.0 13.7	$17.2 \\ 15.7$	-	$4.5 \\ 3.0$	29.0	1.31 $1.27$	50.2	888.3 $768.3$
	2015	413,795	70.3 70.3	8.0	21.7	11.4	-	3.0 13.9	29.0 15.0	1.36	50.2 47.7	833.4
Dinotono	$\frac{2014}{2017}$	411,825 NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NA	1.56 NA	NA	NA
Pinetops								0.4	1.2	1.21		NA
	2016	2,505,378	$61.2 \\ 63.5$	24.5	14.3 11.2	$14.1 \\ 12.2$	-			1.16	40.1 49.1	-
	$2015 \\ 2014$	2,897,516 $3,040,532$	60.5	$25.4 \\ 26.7$	12.8	12.2 $12.2$	-	(1.1) $0.5$	1.1 1.3	1.16	49.1	18.3
Sharpsburg	$\frac{2014}{2017}$	2,447,951	56.7	32.3	11.0	4.1		0.5	4.3	1.19	110.6	173.1
Sharpsburg	2017		50.7 52.7	32.3 $32.2$	15.0	5.7		9.3	4.5 8.6	1.14	10.6	113.1
		2,438,459	94.7	4.0	13.0	4.6	-	(3.2)	6.6	1.05	79.1	93.1
	2015	2,412,211	70.5	$\frac{4.0}{25.7}$	3.9	4.0	-	3.4	6.6	1.05	81.0	104.4
Stantonsburg	$\frac{2014}{2017}$	2,319,950 2,486,482	61.2	32.0	6.8	10.5	-	(2.9)	12.5	1.16	17.8	459.8
Stantonsburg	2017		58.9	24.0	17.0	10.0	-	7.7	17.1	1.10	16.5	505.2
	2016 $2015$	2,495,848 $2,654,724$	66.9	20.8	12.3	9.4	-	3.4	14.8	1.23	18.2	412.5
	2013	2,482,917	74.7	22.1	3.2	9.3	-	(5.4)	13.7	1.09	14.6	384.1
Walstonburg	$\frac{2014}{2017}$	243,298	74.7	7.5	17.9	-	<u> </u>	25.1	19.6	1.36	35.3	295.9
Waistoliburg	2017	220,510	82.8	8.8	8.4	-	-	1.0	7.7	1.04	36.6	172.5
	2015	248,960	78.7	8.0	13.3	-	-	13.4	8.6	1.20	40.6	231.3
	2013	257,263	71.7	24.5	3.8	-	-	3.8	10.5	1.08	46.4	191.6
Waynesville	$\frac{2014}{2017}$	8,719,787	61.1	22.9	16.0	15.2	<u> </u>	0.8	3.3	1.24	31.1	77.3
waynesvine	2016	8,916,695	60.0	23.4	16.5	14.3	-	2.1	2.8	1.24	31.5	79.1
	2015	8,998,730	66.7	20.0	13.3	14.3	6.2	5.0	2.3	1.18	29.8	70.1
	2013	8,803,447	71.6	16.6	11.8	14.5	-	(3.4)	2.2	1.14	31.8	54.2
Windsor	2017	5,105,876	57.6	27.4	15.0	14.7		0.1	5.2	1.32	50.0	273.8
W 1110301	2017	4,979,547	59.1	28.1	12.9	14.7	-	(0.4)	5.7	1.32	46.9	267.7
	2015	5,669,902	60.4	25.9	13.7	15.9	_	(0.4) $(1.4)$	4.2	1.31	43.5	240.0
	2013	5,461,979	60.5	25.0	14.5	11.3	-	3.7	4.0	1.32	60.1	244.4
	4014	0,401,373	00.0	20.0	14.0	11.0	-	0.1	4.0	1.02	00.1	444,4

				Financ	cial Results							
			A	s a % of Tota	l Electric Fu	ınd Operat	ing Reven	ues		Key I	Ratios	
		Total	Electric								Days	Days
	Fiscal	Operating	Power	Power Operating Operating Transfers Transfers Before				Before	Quick	Coverage	Sales in	$\mathbf{Cash}$
Unit	Year	Revenues	Purchases	Expenses	Margin	Out	In	Cap Contr	Ratio	Ratio	Receivables	on Hand
Other Units wit	h Electric	Systems (conti	nued)									
Winterville	2017	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
	2016	6,477,361	57.5	31.9	10.6	3.3	-	6.6	16.2	1.17	50.0	326.1
	2015	6,686,499	56.7	30.6	12.7	2.8	-	8.6	15.5	1.19	53.1	291.2
	2014	6,353,946	65.2	27.2	7.6	3.6	-	2.4	16.5	1.11	54.9	257.5

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2017, 2016, 2015, and 2014

#### Additional information to Table A:

Murphy Power Board is not included in Table A because its operations are separate from the Town of Murphy and are not included in the Town's financial statements.

Statistics pertinent to this unit are as follows:

Financial	Results
-----------	---------

				A	As a % of Total Electric Fund Operating Revenues						Key Ratios					
			Total	Electric	Other				Net Inc			Days	Days			
	Fiscal	(	Operating	Power	Operating	Operating	Transfers	Transfers	Before	Quick	Coverage	Sales in	$\mathbf{Cash}$			
Unit	Year		Revenues	Purchases	Expenses	Margin	Out	In	Cap Contr	Ratio	Ratio	Receivables	on Hand			
											-					
Murphy Power	•															
Board	2017	\$	15,933,152	70.9	27.5	1.6	-	-	1.6	2.5	1.10	27.7	34.1			
	2016		16,636,333	70.8	25.9	3.3	-	-	3.4	2.4	1.11	29.4	29.8			
	2015		14,727,647	72.0	27.6	0.4	-	-	0.4	1.5	1.08	34.3	47.2			
	2014		14,255,005	71.8	27.7	0.5	-	-	0.5	2.6	1.08	31.2	33.9			

### Financial Results and Key Ratios of Municipal Electric Systems Statistical Analysis - NC Eastern Municipal Power Agency

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2017, 2016, 2015, and 2014

T-1 *			T)	14
Hin	anci	เลเ	Kes	ults

					anciai itesu							
				As a	% of Total C	perating R	evenues			Key	Ratios	
		Total	Electric	Other				Net Income			Days	Days
	Fiscal	Operating	Power	Operating	Operating	Transfers	Transfers	Before	Quick	Coverage	Sales in	Cash
	Year	Revenues	Purchases	Expenses	Margin	Out	In	Cap Contr	Ratio	Ratio	Receivables	on Hand
Minimum	2017	\$ 471,851	57.3	11.0	(1.7)	-	-	(3.1)	1.6	1.05	15.7	20.0
	2016	396,758	57.5	10.2	(1.5)	-	-	(4.6)	1.1	1.02	8.0	10.6
	$\boldsymbol{2015}$	467,312	67.4	1.5	(5.3)	-	-	(6.0)	0.8	0.97	12.4	-
	2014	$465,\!077$	71.1	1.5	(9.6)	-	-	(11.1)	0.7	0.91	12.7	-
Maximum	2017	175,324,706	85.0	36.9	17.6	5.3	-	17.2	47.0	1.31	70.9	529.2
	2016	180,166,559	82.6	32.8	19.5	7.5	7.2	18.9	13.9	1.38	59.7	600.0
	2015	198,992,562	94.8	31.8	7.9	8.3	7.9	6.5	11.1	1.13	65.4	466.1
	2014	201,120,903	94.3	33.5	10.6	5.0	0.5	9.2	11.3	1.14	75.1	478.6
Mean *	2017	28,594,856	71.2	20.7	8.1	2.6	-	5.6	4.5	1.15	43.7	119.1
	2016	24,925,004	68.2	19.5	12.3	2.7	0.1	9.4	4.0	1.21	40.6	118.2
	2015	27,736,464	79.7	15.9	4.4	2.3	0.2	1.9	2.9	1.07	43.2	63.7
	2014	27,588,548	81.7	16.1	2.2	1.8	0.1	0.1	2.8	1.05	42.0	62.6
Median	2017	12,976,188	68.9	21.4	6.1	2.6	-	4.7	4.5	1.13	42.8	118.4
	2016	9,297,093	68.0	21.6	10.4	1.7	-	8.8	3.9	1.17	39.7	109.4
	$\boldsymbol{2015}$	10,440,398	78.0	17.5	3.6	0.5	-	1.3	2.9	1.06	42.6	59.9
	2014	10,447,674	82.1	16.9	1.3	1.2	-	(0.3)	2.7	1.03	41.0	59.4
Standard Deviation	2017	40,577,164	6.2	6.2	4.6	2.0	-	4.8	8.5	0.07	12.3	105.8
	2016	39,218,767	5.5	5.8	5.2	2.0	1.3	5.5	2.9	0.08	9.9	129.6
	2015	44,059,744	6.0	6.9	3.5	2.2	1.4	3.3	2.4	0.04	11.2	104.6
	2014	44,233,626	5.5	5.7	4.3	1.5	0.1	4.8	2.3	0.05	12.8	103.8

Note: Electric Power Purchases plus Other Operating Expenses plus Operating Margin do not necessarily sum to 100% because each entry is a separately calculated statistic.

### Financial Results and Key Ratios of Municipal Electric Systems Statistical Analysis - NC Municipal Power Agency Number 1

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2017, 2016, 2015, and 2014

#### **Financial Results**

				As a	% of Total C	perating R	evenues			Key	Ratios	
		Total	Electric	Other				Net Income			Days	Days
	Fiscal	Operating	Power	Operating	Operating	Transfers	Transfers	Before	Quick	Coverage	Sales in	Cash
	Year	Revenues	Purchases	Expenses	Margin	Out	In	Cap Contr	Ratio	Ratio	Receivables	on Hand
Minimum	2017	\$ 412,633	63.4	9.9	(6.4)			(6.3)	1.6	0.94	29.1	10.2
Willimum	2017	φ 412,033 424,847	58.5	7.0	(0.4)	-	-	(2.8)	1.5	1.05	29.1	0.5
	2015	432,623	62.9	1.9	(2.8)			(2.3)	1.5	0.99	30.2	10.6
	2013	432,724	55.1	8.0	0.6	-	-	(2.3) $(1.4)$	1.7	1.03	31.3	13.2
Maximum	2017	129,468,690	86.3	42.2	16.1	4.7	0.1	13.1	257.3	1.37	61.5	1,258.6
	2016	126,976,475	90.1	36.6	25.5	5.3	-	21.3	349.7	1.55	61.5	1,309.5
	2015	129,366,988	98.0	30.7	23.5	5.2	13.3	19.1	56.9	1.47	55.3	1,103.1
	2014	126,011,900	91.4	27.2	30.7	4.6	1.8	26.3	84.1	1.67	56.0	1,083.2
Mean *	2017	29,032,758	78.2	16.9	4.9	2.2	-	2.6	4.8	1.09	44.1	126.7
	2016	28,431,059	79.5	15.8	4.6	2.1	-	3.2	4.7	1.10	44.4	128.9
	2015	28,947,833	82.5	15.3	2.2	1.7	0.4	1.3	4.2	1.06	46.9	112.0
	2014	28,133,415	81.4	15.0	3.6	1.7	0.2	2.1	4.3	1.07	44.8	111.0
Median	2017	14,742,720	76.5	18.4	3.6	0.3	-	2.9	4.3	1.08	44.2	101.4
	2016	14,806,776	77.2	17.2	3.9	1.6	-	3.6	3.6	1.09	45.6	97.8
	2015	15,016,771	80.2	17.5	1.1	0.3	-	0.5	3.3	1.05	47.8	102.7
	2014	14,313,731	80.1	15.5	3.7	0.3	-	3.5	3.4	1.08	46.6	100.1
Standard Deviation	2017	33,198,281	6.5	8.3	4.9	1.7	-	4.2	58.6	0.09	8.3	278.4
	2016	32,474,145	8.5	7.4	5.3	1.9	-	5.1	79.3	0.11	8.0	292.1
	2015	33,131,039	8.6	7.4	5.7	1.8	3.1	5.9	15.7	0.10	7.8	246.1
	2014	32,250,067	8.3	5.2	6.5	1.6	0.4	5.9	19.5	0.14	8.4	241.8

Note: Electric Power Purchases plus Other Operating Expenses plus Operating Margin do not necessarily sum to 100% because each entry is a separately calculated statistic.

### Financial Results and Key Ratios of Municipal Electric Systems Statistical Analysis - Other Units With Electric Systems

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2017, 2016, 2015, and 2014

#### **Financial Results**

				FIII	anciai kesu	112						
				As a %	6 of Total O	perating Ro	evenues		·	Key	Ratios	
		Total	Electric	Other				Net Income			Days	Days
	Fiscal	Operating	Power	Operating	Operating	Transfers	Transfers	Before	Quick	Coverage	Sales in	Cash
	Year	Revenues	Purchases	Expenses	Margin	Out	In	Cap Contr	Ratio	Ratio	Receivables	on Hand
Minimum	2017	\$ 243,298	49.3	7.5	3.0			(16.6)	3.3	1.07	17.8	77.3
Williamum	2017	φ 245,258 220,510	44.8	8.8	1.0	-	-	(0.4)	1.2	1.04	16.5	11.5
	$\frac{2016}{2015}$	248,960		4.0		-	-	(5.1)	1.2	0.95	8.0	-
		,	46.9		(5.2)	-	-	` ,				100
	2014	257,263	45.6	8.0	(6.4)	-	-	(6.2)	1.3	0.95	4.5	18.3
Maximum	2017	225,828,018	74.7	32.3	31.2	25.4	-	29.1	52.8	1.65	110.6	963.7
	2016	221,667,773	82.8	51.7	32.1	25.3	-	21.6	228.8	1.71	105.4	908.8
	2015	223,663,832	94.7	51.5	32.9	23.4	6.2	13.4	29.0	1.72	97.7	768.3
	2014	217,853,786	80.2	48.5	32.4	28.6	-	17.7	25.5	1.69	82.6	833.4
Mean *	2017	26,804,859	63.2	23.5	13.3	3.7	-	5.7	6.1	1.22	54.7	224.1
	2016	20,014,085	60.5	22.9	16.6	3.9	-	9.7	7.3	1.29	65.6	234.5
	2015	20,168,688	66.5	21.1	12.4	6.1	0.1	6.1	5.7	1.24	60.9	189.9
	2014	19,746,478	71.5	21.8	6.7	5.9	-	0.7	5.2	1.15	52.4	179.2
Median	2017	5,388,145	60.6	25.9	15.3	12.6	-	1.2	10.2	1.24	52.4	303.8
	2016	4,039,950	58.9	24.0	16.5	9.0	-	6.9	10.8	1.31	48.5	271.5
	2015	4,278,195	64.0	23.4	13.3	9.4	-	5.0	8.6	1.23	50.2	240.0
	2014	4,304,807	67.2	23.2	10.3	5.7	-	3.4	8.3	1.14	49.9	244.4
Standard Deviation	2017	61,167,481	7.4	6.9	7.5	8.7	-	11.5	13.9	0.17	25.2	281.5
	2016	52,106,531	8.8	9.1	8.0	7.9	-	6.1	50.4	0.18	25.9	276.0
	2015	52,438,071	11.1	9.9	9.1	7.2	1.4	5.8	8.7	0.17	23.9	226.8
	2014	51,195,495	8.8	8.0	9.7	7.5	-	7.0	6.5	0.18	18.7	233.6
	2015	52,438,071	11.1	9.9	9.1	7.2	1.4	5.8	8.7	0.17	23.9	22

Note: Electric Power Purchases plus Other Operating Expenses plus Operating Margin do not necessarily sum to 100% because each entry is a separately calculated statistic.

# Financial Results and Key Ratios of Municipal Electric Systems Statistical Analysis

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2017, 2016, 2015, and 2014

#### **Definitions:**

Minimum - The smallest value found within a set of numbers.

Maximum - The largest value found within a set of numbers.

Mean - The mean or average is a measure reflecting the center of a distribution of values.

\* The mean or average is calculated on the total for the population group for each component of the formula for the years presented.

Statistical reports issued before July 2012 calculated the mean or average based on the individual unit's mean or average value.

Median - The middle value of an ordered set of numbers. From the ordered set, an equal number of values will be above and below the middle value. If there is an even number of numbers in the set, then the median is an average of the two numbers in the middle.

Standard Deviation - measures the degree to which individual values in a list vary from the mean (average). The lower the standard deviation, the less individual values vary from the mean, and the more reliable the mean. As a general rule, if the data are normally distributed, 68% of the values will be within one standard deviation of the mean and 95% of the values will be within two standard deviations of the mean. The standard deviation can be particularly useful in identifying "outliers," i.e., values that are unusually high or low.

## **Key to Financial Statistics and Ratios**

<u>Financial Results</u> - These statistics were compiled by the staff of the Local Government Commission from the unit's audited financial statements.

#### **Total Operating Revenues**

Charges for services plus other operating revenues.

#### **Electric Power Purchases**

Wholesale cost of power purchased from the power agency as a percentage of total operating revenues.

#### **Other Operating Expenses**

Total operating expenses less electric power purchases as a percentage of total operating revenues.

NOTE: Other operating expenses do not include interest expense or capital outlay. Interest expense is reported as a non-operating expense, and capital outlay is not an expense but is reported on the balance sheet as part of fixed assets.

#### **Operating Margin**

Total operating revenues less total operating expenses as a percentage of total operating revenues.

#### **Transfers Out**

Total transfers out as a percentage of total operating revenues.

#### Transfers In

Total transfers in as a percentage of total operating revenues.

#### **Net Income**

Total operating revenues plus total nonoperating revenues less total operating expenses, total non-operating expenses, and transfers to (from) other funds as a percentage of total operating revenues. The income shown here is before capital contributions

## **Key to Financial Statistics and Ratios**

<u>Key Ratios</u> - These ratios were compiled by the staff of the Local Government Commission from the unit's audited financial statements.

#### **Quick Ratio**

Total quick assets

Total current liabilities

Note: Quick assets are defined as current assets less inventories and prepaid items. Current liabilities *excludes* "Advance To" portion of interfund loans with repayment long than 12 months, bond anticipation notes, compensated absences, pension liabilities, other post-employment liabilities, closure/postclosure liabilities, payables from restricted assets and deferred inflows.

#### **Coverage Ratio**

Net income before capital contributions plus transfers out (in), purchased power expense, depreciation expense, and interest expense

Purchased power expense plus principal payments and interest expense on electric system debt

Note: A coverage ratio of less than 1.0 indicates the electric system does not generate adequate income to cover the cost of purchased power and debt service payments on electric system debt.

#### Days Sales in Receivables

Net accounts receivable x 365 days

Charges for services

Note: Net accounts receivable includes any unbilled receivables.

#### **Days Cash on Hand**

Unrestricted cash and investments x 365 days

Total operating expenses less depreciation and amortization expenses

#### **Group and Statewide Averages**

These statistics were compiled by the staff of the Local Government Commission from audited financial statements.

			(A)		(B)	(C)	<b>(</b> D	<b>)</b> )	(D/A)	<b>(E)</b>	(F)	(E+F)	
			·	Est.	Loss in Prop. Ta	ax	Tra	nsfers l	From the Elec	etric Fund	Tax Ra	ate per \$100	- Adjusted
			$\mathbf{Gross}$	Reve	enues Due to Ci	ty		to t	he General F	und	for Ass	essment-to-S	Sales Ratio
			<b>Electric Fund</b>	Owr	ership of Utilit	y			As a % of	As a	Effec-	Without	Non-
	Popu-		Fixed Assets			Tax Rate			Elec. Fixed	Tax Rate	tive	Electric	Electric
Unit	lation	Year	at Cost		Amount	Equivalent	Amo	unt	Assets	Equivalent	Actual	Transfers	Avg.
Eastern Munici	pal Power Ag	ency Part	icipants										
Apex	46,688	2017	\$ 59,510,090	\$	232,089	0.0038	\$	-	-	-	0.39	0.39	0.45
		2016	55,909,164		218,046	0.0041		-	-	-	0.39	0.39	
		2015	52,987,394		206,651	0.0041		-	-	-	0.41	0.41	
		2014	50,451,167		196,760	0.0041		-	-	-	0.43	0.43	
Ayden	4,996	2017	11,410,335		61,616	0.0265	59	99,520	5.3	0.26	0.54	0.80	0.33
		2016	10,800,880		58,325	0.0255	42	24,520	3.9	0.19	0.54	0.72	
		2015	10,251,894		55,360	0.0245	42	24,520	4.1	0.19	0.54	0.73	
		2014	8,485,204		45,820	0.0206	42	20,664	5.0	0.19	0.55	0.73	
Belhaven	1,609	2017	981,131		5,102	0.0044		-	-	-	0.55	0.55	0.37
		2016	981,131		5,102	0.0043		-	-	-	0.64	0.64	
		2015	981,131		4,709	0.0041		-	-	-	0.54	0.54	
		2014	981,131		4,415	0.0038	5	30,360	3.1	0.03	0.48	0.51	
Benson	3,481	2017	2,735,919		14,500	0.0044		-	-	-	0.51	0.51	0.33
		2016	2,640,518		13,995	0.0048		-	-	-	0.52	0.52	
		2015	2,640,518		13,995	0.0049		-	-	-	0.53	0.53	
		2014	2,640,518		13,995	0.0049		-	-	-	0.54	0.54	
Clayton	19,427	2017	17,977,082		98,874	0.0054		-	-	-	0.53	0.53	0.43
		2016	13,056,510		71,811	0.0041		-	-	-	0.54	0.54	
		2015	12,325,412		64,708	0.0039		-	-	-	0.52	0.52	
		2014	11,670,284		61,269	0.0038		-	-	-	0.53	0.53	
Edenton	4,814	2017	10,434,415		40,172	0.0085	34	14,880	3.3	0.07	0.37	0.45	0.33
		2016	10,221,887		39,354	0.0083	34	14,880	3.4	0.07	0.36	0.43	
		2015	10,124,186		37,459	0.0080	32	29,964	3.3	0.07	0.37	0.44	
		2014	10,124,186		29,360	0.0057	36	31,473	3.6	0.07	0.33	0.40	
Elizabeth City	17,969	2017	46,827,877		306,723	0.0250	92	25,000	2.0	0.08	0.66	0.73	0.48
		2016	46,094,843		295,007	0.0250	92	25,000	2.0	0.08	0.66	0.74	
		2015	45,640,911		280,692	0.0241	92	25,000	2.0	0.08	0.62	0.70	
		2014	44,686,218		221,197	0.0159	1,05	50,000	2.3	0.08	0.59	0.67	

			(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(D/A)	<b>(E)</b>	<b>(F)</b>	(E+F)	
				Est. Loss in Prop. Ta	ax	Transfers	From the Elec	ctric Fund	Tax R	ate per \$100	- Adjusted
			Gross	Revenues Due to Ci	ty	to t	he General F	und	for Ass	essment-to-S	Sales Ratio
			<b>Electric Fund</b>	Ownership of Utilit	ty		As a % of	As a	Effec-	Without	Non-
	Popu-		Fixed Assets		Tax Rate		Elec. Fixed	Tax Rate	tive	Electric	Electric
Unit	lation	Year	at Cost	Amount	Equivalent	Amount	Assets	Equivalent	Actual	Transfers	Avg.
Fastann Munic	inal Dawan Am	amarı Dant	icipants (continu	٠. ٩١							
Eastern Munic Farmville	4,670	2017	9,053,323	<u>180)</u> 44,361	0.0133	330,182	3.6	0.10	0.49	0.59	0.3
rarmvine	4,070	2017	8,921,235	43,714	0.0133	294,826	3.3	0.10	0.49	0.58	0.0
		2015	8,285,773	40,600	0.0137	304,737	3.7	0.09	0.49	0.58	
		2013	8,019,846	39,297	0.0138	230,990	2.9	0.10	0.49 $0.50$	0.59 $0.57$	
Fremont	1,224	2014	1,151,516	7,485	0.0133	8,886	0.8	0.08	0.65	0.67	0.3
rremont	1,224	2017	1,148,316	7,464	0.0147	26,658	2.3	0.02	0.65	0.70	0.5
		2015	1,125,363	7,315	0.0143	20,036	2.5	0.05	0.65	0.70 $0.65$	
		2013	1,125,363	7,315	0.0140	54,058	4.8	0.10	0.65	0.05 $0.75$	
Greenville	87,989	2014	288,789,703	1,501,706	0.0240	5,187,558	1.8	0.10	0.52	0.60	0.4
Greenvine	01,303	2016	272,282,881	1,443,099	0.0240	5,542,975	2.0	0.09	0.52	0.62	0.4
		2015	261,217,643	1,410,575	0.0240	5,143,889	2.0	0.09	0.54	0.62	
		2014	250,095,253	1,300,495	0.0227	4,833,702	1.9	0.08	0.53	0.61	
Hamilton	395	2017	NR	NR	NA	NR	NR	NA	NA	NA	0.3
114111110011	303	2016	313,711	1,631	0.0121	-	-	-	0.56	0.56	0.0
		2015	322,111	1,675	0.0119	-	-	_	0.57	0.57	
		2014	322,111	1,675	0.0117	-	-	_	0.53	0.53	
Hertford	2,120	2017	2,885,450	13,562	0.0106	84,000	2.9	0.07	0.47	0.53	0.3
	,	2016	2,867,870	10,038	0.0063	84,000	2.9	0.05	0.46	0.51	
		2015	2,871,823	10,051	0.0064	84,044	2.9	0.05	0.46	0.52	
		2014	2,801,453	9,805	0.0070	84,470	3.0	0.06	0.42	0.48	
Hobgood	333	2017	459,651	2,988	0.0326	-	-	-	0.63	0.63	0.3
_		2016	459,651	2,988	0.0329	-	-	_	0.64	0.64	
		2015	459,651	2,620	0.0223	-	-	_	0.59	0.59	
		2014	456,851	2,604	0.0228	3,000	0.7	0.03	0.57	0.60	
Hookerton	406	2017	709,576	3,300	0.0209	42,000.0	5.9	0.27	0.45	0.72	0.3
		2016	659,198	3,065	0.0201	-	-	-	0.48	0.48	
		2015	636,003	2,957	0.0199	-	-	-	0.48	0.48	
		2014	533,690	2,482	0.0164	-	-	-	0.48	0.48	
Kinston	20,672	2017	49,801,650	328,691	0.0216	800,000	1.6	0.05	0.72	0.77	0.4
		2016	47,393,347	312,796	0.0204	800,000	1.7	0.05	0.69	0.74	
		2015	46,590,815	307,499	0.0200	500,000	1.1	0.03	0.67	0.70	
		2014	45,763,183	302,037	0.0202	500,000	1.1	0.03	0.68	0.72	

			(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(D/A)	<b>(E)</b>	(F)	(E+F)	
				Est. Loss in Prop. Ta	ax	Transfers	From the Elec	ctric Fund	Tax R	ate per \$100	- Adjusted
			Gross	Revenues Due to Ci	ty	to t	he General F	und	for Ass	essment-to-S	Sales Ratio
			Electric Fund	Ownership of Utilit	t <b>y</b>		As a % of	As a	Effec-	Without	Non-
	Popu-		Fixed Assets		Tax Rate		Elec. Fixed	Tax Rate	tive	Electric	Electric
Unit	lation	Year	at Cost	Amount	Equivalent	Amount	Assets	Equivalent	Actual	Transfers	Avg.
P + 1VI : - :	1 D A	D4	icipants (continu								
<u>Lastern Munici</u> La Grange	pai rower Ago 2,774	2017	NR	NR	NA	NR	NR	NA	NA	NA	0.3
La Grange	2,114	2017	2,204,469	10,361	0.0075	149,415	6.8	0.11	0.49	0.60	0.5
		2015	2,131,235	9,591	0.0073	98,990	4.6	0.11	0.45 $0.45$	0.53	
		2013	1,895,375	8,529	0.0063	50,000	2.6	0.04	0.45	0.50	
Laurinburg	15,671	2014	16,654,777	66,619	0.0077	62,243	0.4	0.04	0.43	0.43	0.4
Laurinburg	10,071	2017	16,521,915	66,088	0.0077	61,351	0.4	0.01	0.45	0.43	0.4
		2015	16,280,406	65,122	0.0077	01,551	0.4	0.01	0.41	0.41	
		2014	15,901,090	63,604	0.0078	_	_	_	0.43	0.43	
Louisburg	3,367	2017	10,614,942	54,667	0.0218	353,759	3.3	0.14	0.48	0.62	0.3
Louisburg	0,001	2016	10,464,188	55,983	0.0214	-	-	-	0.51	0.51	0.0
		2015	10,419,075	55,742	0.0214	_	_	-	0.51	0.51	
		2014	10,332,889	55,281	0.0204	308,284	3.0	0.11	0.52	0.63	
Lumberton	21,465	2017	31,583,757	205,294	0.0132	200,740	0.6	0.01	0.65	0.66	0.4
241110010011	21,100	2016	30,998,751	201,492	0.0132	299,960	1.0	0.02	0.65	0.67	0.1
		2015	30,577,483	198,754	0.0133	235,049	0.8	0.02	0.65	0.67	
		2014	30,170,473	190,074	0.0129	138,926	0.5	0.01	0.62	0.63	
New Bern	30,048	2017	76,589,236	352,310	0.0117	2,652,027	3.5	0.09	0.46	0.55	0.4
	,	2016	72,801,219	298,485	0.0091	3,051,692	4.2	0.09	0.45	0.55	
		2015	67,590,595	277,121	0.0085	3,173,832	4.7	0.10	0.46	0.56	
		2014	62,708,957	257,107	0.0080	2,374,746	3.8	0.07	0.46	0.54	
Pikeville	668	2017	1,958,806	13,516	0.0291	-	-	_	0.69	0.69	0.3
		2016	1,958,806	11,753	0.0264	_	-	_	0.60	0.60	
		2015	1,958,806	11,753	0.0266	_	-	_	0.60	0.60	
		2014	1,954,730	11,728	0.0259	_	-	_	0.60	0.60	
Red Springs	3,423	2017	4,765,619	30,500	0.0166	9,345	0.2	0.01	0.64	0.64	0.3
		2016	4,456,643	28,523	0.0158	9,345	0.2	0.01	0.64	0.64	
		2015	4,456,643	28,523	0.0165	10,279	0.2	0.01	0.64	0.65	
		2014	4,355,892	27,878	0.0163	-	-	-	0.63	0.63	
Robersonville	1,435	2017	NR	NR	NA	NR	NR	NA	NA	NA	0.6
	•	2016	1,224,416	7,102	0.0074	56,500	4.6	0.06	0.63	0.69	
		2015	1,224,416	7,102	0.0074	6,500	0.5	0.01	0.64	0.64	
		2014	1,224,416	7,102	0.0076	6,500	0.5	0.01	0.59	0.60	

			(A)	(B)	<b>(C)</b>	(D)	(D/A)	<b>(E)</b>	(F)	(E+F)	
				Est. Loss in Prop. Ta	ax	Transfers	From the Elec	ctric Fund	Tax R	ate per \$100	- Adjusted
			Gross	Revenues Due to Ci	ty	to t	he General F	und	for Ass	essment-to-S	Sales Ratio
			Electric Fund	Ownership of Utilit	y		As a % of	As a	Effec-	Without	Non-
	Popu-		Fixed Assets		Tax Rate		Elec. Fixed	Tax Rate	tive	Electric	Electric
Unit	lation	Year	at Cost	Amount	Equivalent	Amount	Assets	Equivalent	Actual	Transfers	Avg.
74 <b>T</b> MT <b>:</b> - <b>:</b>	-1 D A	D4	icipants (continu	1)							
Rocky Mount	54,853	2017	77,644,122	469,747	0.0116	2,110,000	2.7	0.05	0.62	0.67	0.4
Rocky Mount	94,699	2017	73,245,882	443,138	0.0110	1,700,000	2.7	0.03	0.62	0.66	0.4
		2016 $2015$	71,685,902	415,778	0.0112	1,700,000	$\frac{2.5}{2.4}$	0.04	0.62 $0.58$	0.63	
		2013	72,581,220	420,971	0.0103	1,400,000	1.9	0.04	0.58	0.63	
Scotland Neck	1,963	2014	NR	NR	NA	1,400,000 NR	NR	NA	NA	NA	0.3
Scottanu Neck	1,905	2017	1,800,045	14,130	0.0142	7,500	0.4	0.01	0.77	0.78	0.5
		$\frac{2016}{2015}$	1,730,882	12,116	0.0142	46,400	$\frac{0.4}{2.7}$	0.01 $0.05$	0.77 $0.72$	0.78	
		2013	1,755,453	12,116	0.0126	3,679	0.2	0.05	0.72	0.77	
Selma	6,407	2014	8,061,205	41,112	0.0092	175,000	2.2	0.04	0.49	0.70	0.3
Seilla	0,407	2017	7,915,519	40,369	0.0092	175,000	2.2	0.04	0.49	0.53 $0.54$	0.0
		$\frac{2016}{2015}$	7,867,494	40,124	0.0093	175,000	2,2	0.04	0.50 $0.51$	0.54 $0.51$	
		2013	7,665,979	39,096	0.0094	186,000	2.4	0.04	0.51	0.51	
Smithfield	11,238	2017	16,607,614	94,663	0.0088	100,000	2,4	0.04	0.55	0.55	0.4
Simumeia	11,230	2016	15,449,665	88,063	0.0083	-	-	-	0.56	0.56	0.4
		2015	15,248,885	86,919	0.0083	-	-	-	0.50	0.57	
		2014	15,125,288	86,214	0.0084	_	-	-	0.58	0.57	
Southport	3,428	2017	NR	NR	NA	NR	NR	NA	NA	NA	0.3
Southport	5,426	2016	11,013,310	27,049	0.0037	-	-	INA -	0.24	0.24	0.0
		2015	9,849,651	24,191	0.0037	_	_	-	0.24	0.24	
		2014	9,404,822	23,098	0.0033	20,000	0.2	_	0.26	0.27	
Tarboro	10,857	2017	55,733,828	228,509	0.0260	1,074,037	1.9	0.12	0.41	0.53	0.4
1210010	10,007	2016	54,118,579	221,886	0.0246	1,100,000	2.0	0.12	0.41	0.53	0.4
		2015	53,701,842	220,178	0.0243	1,194,831	2.2	0.12	0.42	0.54	
		2013	53,237,464	218,274	0.0248	1,222,467	2.3	0.13	0.43	0.56	
Wake Forest	35,293	2017	34,431,146	179,042	0.0038	1,222,401	-	- 0.14	0.52	0.52	0.4
wake rolest	50,255	2016	32,712,280	170,104	0.0038		_	-	0.52	0.52	0.9
		2015	31,333,486	162,934	0.0038	_	-	- -	0.52	0.52 $0.55$	
		$\frac{2013}{2014}$	29,031,353	148,060	0.0036	-	_	-	0.56	0.56	
Washington	9,561	2017	69,139,594	359,526	0.0413	1,118,859	1.6	0.13	0.55	0.68	0.3
TT ASITTING TOTAL	5,501	2016	66,690,148	333,451	0.0388	767,593	1.0	0.13	0.61	0.70	0.6
		2015	64,349,995	321,750	0.0367	470,000	0.7	0.05	0.56	0.62	
		2013	62,394,636	311,973	0.0363	470,000	0.7	0.05	0.56	0.62 $0.59$	

			(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(D/A)	<b>(E)</b>	<b>(F)</b>	(E+F)	
				Est. Loss in Prop. Ta	ax	Transfers	From the Elec	etric Fund	Tax Ra	ate per \$100	- Adjusted
			$\mathbf{Gross}$	Revenues Due to Ci	ty	to t	he General F	und	for Ass	sessment-to-S	Sales Rati
			<b>Electric Fund</b>	Ownership of Utilit	y		As a % of	As a	Effec-	Without	Non-
	Popu-		Fixed Assets		Tax Rate		Elec. Fixed	Tax Rate	tive	Electric	Electric
Unit	lation	Year	at Cost	Amount	Equivalent	Amount	Assets	Equivalent	Actual	Transfers	Avg.
Eastern Munic	ipal Power Ag	ency Part	icipants (continu	ıed)							
Wilson	49,406	2017	162,139,137	899,872	0.0222	2,174,730	1.3	0.05	0.56	0.61	0.4
	,	2016	152,597,954	785,879	0.0184	2,163,990	1.4	0.05	0.56	0.61	
		2015	149,283,621	768,811	0.0183	2,149,040	1.4	0.05	0.57	0.62	
		2014	146,075,020	752,286	0.0185	2,148,450	1.5	0.05	0.56	0.61	
Municipal Pow	er Agency Nu	nber 1 Pa	<u>rticipants</u>								
Albemarle	16,121	2017	28,860,306	170,276	0.0167	356,512	1.2	0.03	0.54	0.57	0.4
		2016	28,002,314	165,214	0.0165	763,336	2.7	0.08	0.55	0.63	
		2015	28,383,554	167,463	0.0167	990,000	3.5	0.10	0.56	0.66	
		2014	28,040,497	157,027	0.0157	1,098,818	3.9	0.11	0.55	0.66	
Bostic	388	2017	674,115	1,753	0.0103	17,000	2.5	0.10	0.26	0.36	0.6
		2016	657,836	1,710	0.0102	20,000	3.0	0.12	0.26	0.38	
		2015	657,836	1,710	0.0104	20,000	3.0	0.12	0.27	0.39	
		2014	657,836	1,710	0.0106	20,000	3.0	0.12	0.26	0.38	
Cherryville	5,919	2017	4,386,575	20,178	0.0055	-	-	-	0.45	0.45	0.6
		2016	4,175,262	19,206	0.0054	-	-	-	0.46	0.46	
		2015	3,962,434	18,227	0.0049	-	-	-	0.50	0.50	
		2014	3,947,434	18,158	0.0049	-	-	-	0.49	0.49	
Cornelius	30,207	2017	11,169,063	28,481	0.0005	696	-	-	0.22	0.22	0.4
		2016	10,604,219	25,450	0.0005	-	-	-	0.22	0.22	
		2015	10,086,531	24,208	0.0005	-	-	-	0.23	0.23	
		2014	9,531,212	22,875	0.0005	-	-	-	0.24	0.24	
Drexel	1,857	2017	1,339,363	5,090	0.0054	-	-	-	0.36	0.36	0.6
		2016	1,309,273	4,975	0.0053	-	-	-	0.38	0.38	
		2015	1,273,773	4,840	0.0051	-	-	-	0.39	0.39	
		2014	1,268,924	4,822	0.0050	-	-	-	0.38	0.38	
Gastonia	74,413	2017	103,628,383	549,230	0.0098	2,011,667	1.9	0.04	0.52	0.55	0.4
		2016	99,391,313	526,774	0.0097	1,620,000	1.6	0.03	0.53	0.56	
		2015	97,552,845	517,030	0.0095	1,620,000	1.7	0.03	0.57	0.60	
		2014	95,114,397	504,106	0.0095	2,000,000	2.1	0.04	0.56	0.60	

			(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(D/A)	<b>(E)</b>	<b>(F)</b>	(E+F)	
				Est. Loss in Prop. Ta	ax	Transfers	From the Elec	ctric Fund	Tax R	ate per \$100	- Adjusted
			$\mathbf{Gross}$	Revenues Due to Ci	ty	to t	he General F	und	for Ass	essment-to-S	Sales Ratio
			<b>Electric Fund</b>	Ownership of Utilit	<b>y</b>		As a % of	As a	Effec-	Without	Non-
	Popu-		Fixed Assets	<del></del>	Tax Rate		Elec. Fixed	Tax Rate	tive	Electric	Electric
Unit	lation	Year	at Cost	Amount	Equivalent	Amount	Assets	Equivalent	Actual	Transfers	Avg.
<u> Municipal Powe</u>	r Agency Nur	nber 1 Pa	rticipants (conti	<u>nued)</u>							
Granite Falls	4,652	2017	6,713,701	29,540	0.0072	-	-	-	0.42	0.42	0.3
		2016	6,492,221	28,566	0.0071	-	-	-	0.43	0.43	
		2015	6,252,069	27,509	0.0069	-	-	-	0.44	0.44	
		2014	6,202,174	27,290	0.0074	-	-	-	0.45	0.45	
High Point	110,244	2017	138,554,283	897,139	0.0097	2,047,230	1.5	0.02	0.63	0.66	0.4
		2016	137,373,273	892,926	0.0099	2,003,328	1.5	0.02	0.62	0.64	
		2015	136,293,946	904,992	0.0101	1,955,007	1.4	0.02	0.65	0.67	
		2014	132,344,867	893,328	0.0100	1,915,173	1.4	0.02	0.67	0.69	
Huntersville	57,145	2017	28,081,054	85,647	0.0013	-	-	-	0.26	0.26	0.4
		2016	26,550,883	80,980	0.0013	-	-	-	0.27	0.27	
		2015	25,088,535	76,520	0.0012	-	-	-	0.29	0.29	
		2014	24,119,383	68,137	0.0011	-	-	-	0.28	0.28	
Landis	3,148	2017	5,684,572	27,286	0.0106	-	-	-	0.47	0.47	0.3
		2016	5,814,513	27,910	0.0112	300,000	5.2	0.12	0.49	0.61	
		2015	5,789,361	27,789	0.0110	290,000	5.0	0.11	0.52	0.64	
		2014	5,580,217	26,785	0.0109	270,000	4.8	0.11	0.50	0.61	
Lexington	18,532	2017	65,339,937	424,710	0.0276	1,452,514	2.2	0.09	0.65	0.74	0.4
Ü	,	2016	62,313,556	373,881	0.0246	1,456,161	2.3	0.10	0.60	0.70	
		2015	61,239,249	367,435	0.0236	1,478,016	2.4	0.10	0.63	0.73	
		2014	60,012,001	360,072	0.0240	1,504,209	2.5	0.10	0.64	0.74	
Lincolnton	10,638	2017	5,126,095	28,706	0.0034	25,975	0.5	-	0.52	0.53	0.4
	-,	2016	4,945,407	27,694	0.0033	25,975	0.5	_	0.55	0.55	
		2015	4,842,586	27,118	0.0032	25,975	0.5	_	0.56	0.56	
		2014	4,701,470	26,328	0.0031	25,975	0.6	_	0.60	0.60	
Maiden	3,399	2017	6,197,998	23,552	0.0016	-	-	_	0.38	0.38	0.3
	-,	2016	5,636,602	21,419	0.0014	_	-	_	0.38	0.38	
		2015	5,493,033	20,874	0.0015	_	_	_	0.39	0.39	
		2014	5,238,341	19,906	0.0015	4,000	_	-	0.39	0.39	
Monroe	34,725	2017	131,530,952	771,166	0.0228	462,128	0.4	0.01	0.56	0.57	0.4
	01,120	2016	119,747,532	702,080	0.0211	1,522,649	1.3	0.05	0.58	0.63	0.1
		2015	117,639,279	652,898	0.0189	1,022,043	-	-	0.62	0.63	
		2013	117,649,843	652,957	0.0188	-	-	-	0.65	0.62	

			(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(D/A)	<b>(E)</b>	(F)	(E+F)	
			,	Est. Loss in Prop. Ta	ax	Transfers	From the Elec	etric Fund	Tax R	ate per \$100	- Adjusted
			Gross	Revenues Due to Ci	ty	to t	he General F	und	for Ass	sessment-to-S	Sales Ratio
			<b>Electric Fund</b>	Ownership of Utilit	y		As a % of	As a	Effec-	Without	Non-
	Popu-		Fixed Assets		Tax Rate		Elec. Fixed	Tax Rate	tive	Electric	Electric
Unit	lation	Year	at Cost	Amount	Equivalent	Amount	Assets	Equivalent	Actual	Transfers	Avg.
N.C. Municipal Pow	ver Agency Nu	nber 1 Pa	rticipants (conti	nued)							
Morganton	16,839	2017	24,451,188	129,591	0.0076	688,353	2.8	0.04	0.51	0.55	0.43
		2016	23,485,087	124,471	0.0073	667,428	2.8	0.04	0.53	0.57	
		2015	22,945,110	121,609	0.0070	642,442	2.8	0.04	0.54	0.58	
		2014	22,247,611	117,912	0.0074	681,602	3.1	0.04	0.53	0.58	
Newton	13,027	2017	25,675,680	138,649	0.0136	-	-	-	0.54	0.54	0.43
		2016	25,147,462	135,796	0.0128	-	-	-	0.53	0.53	
		2015	21,465,183	109,472	0.0097	-	-	-	0.52	0.52	
		2014	20,819,670	99,934	0.0094	4,000	-	-	0.50	0.50	
Pineville	8,873	2017	18,974,084	66,409	0.0040	31,070	0.2	-	0.30	0.30	0.33
		2016	17,452,761	61,085	0.0037	28,103	0.2	-	0.31	0.32	
		2015	16,237,078	51,959	0.0032	8,420	0.1	-	0.30	0.30	
		2014	15,896,509	50,869	0.0031	26,175	0.2	-	0.32	0.32	
Shelby	20,080	2017	30,780,261	153,039	0.0086	575,000	1.9	0.03	0.50	0.53	0.43
		2016	29,914,883	130,130	0.0069	535,000	1.8	0.03	0.44	0.47	
		2015	29,534,129	128,473	0.0072	535,000	1.8	0.03	0.47	0.50	
		2014	29,378,288	127,796	0.0073	535,000	1.8	0.03	0.46	0.49	
Statesville	25,714	2017	45,871,984	211,011	0.0072	87,282	0.2	-	0.44	0.44	0.43
		2016	44,444,585	182,223	0.0062	284,268	0.6	0.01	0.40	0.41	
		2015	43,209,973	177,161	0.0061	281,764	0.7	0.01	0.41	0.42	
		2014	41,498,889	170,145	0.0059	258,149	0.6	0.01	0.43	0.44	
Other Units with E	lectric Systems	<u>i</u>									
Black Creek	767	2017	NR	NR	NA	NR	NR	NA	NA	NA	0.32
		2016	1,863,916	10,252	0.0337	33,283	1.8	0.11	0.60	0.71	
		2015	1,852,511	10,189	0.0340	· =	-	-	0.61	0.61	
		2014	1,852,511	10,189	0.0348	-	-	-	0.60	0.60	
Concord	88,815	2017	147,659,321	708,765	0.0063	607,135	0.4	0.01	0.48	0.48	0.46
	•	2016	140,830,349	675,986	0.0069	561,518	0.4	0.01	0.45	0.46	
		2015	136,885,716	657,051	0.0069	549,500	0.4	0.01	0.47	0.48	
		2014	133,772,056	642,106	0.0069	542,500	0.4	0.01	0.48	0.48	

			(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(D/A)	<b>(E)</b>	<b>(F)</b>	(E+F)	
				Est. Loss in Prop. T	ax	Transfers	From the Elec	ctric Fund	Tax R	ate per \$100	- Adjusted
			$\mathbf{Gross}$	Revenues Due to Ci	ty	to t	he General F	und	for Ass	essment-to-S	Sales Rati
			<b>Electric Fund</b>	Ownership of Utilia	t <b>y</b>		As a % of	As a	Effec-	Without	Non-
	Popu-		Fixed Assets		Tax Rate		Elec. Fixed	Tax Rate	tive	Electric	Electric
Unit	lation	Year	at Cost	Amount	Equivalent	Amount	Assets	Equivalent	Actual	Transfers	Avg.
· Units with Elec	tric Systems	s (continu	ed)								
Dallas	4,791	2017	9,994,015	39,976	0.0139	895,745	9.0	0.31	0.39	0.70	0.3
	,	2016	9,383,861	35,659	0.0127	780,519	8.3	0.28	0.38	0.66	
		2015	8,647,365	32,860	0.0118	542,226	6.3	0.20	0.41	0.61	
		2014	6,834,567	25,971	0.0096	492,727	7.2	0.18	0.40	0.58	
Enfield	2,506	2017	2,840,880	23,807	0.0289	80,400	2.8	0.10	0.82	0.91	0.3
	,	2016	2,840,880	23,807	0.0290	365,000	12.8	0.44	0.82	1.27	
		2015	2,727,586	20,457	0.0230	415,000	15.2	0.47	0.77	1.24	
		2014	2,709,206	20,319	0.0224	465,000	17.2	0.51	0.75	1.26	
Fayetteville	208,729	2017	585,772,860	2,925,935	0.0205	*	NA	NA	0.52	0.52	0.4
	,	2016	568,155,485	2,761,236	0.0196	*	NA	NA	0.51	0.51	
		2015	552,045,476	2,682,941	0.0193	12,527,760	2.3	0.09	0.51	0.60	
		2014	529,731,854	2,415,577	0.0176	12,282,768	2.3	0.09	0.47	0.56	
Forest City	7,402	2017	12,539,943	36,366	0.0031	3,038,973	24.2	0.26	0.30	0.56	0.8
•	,	2016	12,460,126	36,134	0.0031	3,006,294	24.1	0.26	0.29	0.55	
		2015	12,366,177	35,862	0.0031	2,223,431	18.0	0.19	0.30	0.49	
		2014	12,245,488	35,512	0.0034	3,000,000	24.5	0.28	0.29	0.57	
Fountain	427	2017	NR	NR	NA	NR	NR	NA	NA	NA	0.6
		2016	772,875	4,637	0.0223	-	-	-	0.60	0.60	
		2015	787,341	4,724	0.0237	-	-	-	0.60	0.60	
		2014	749,541	4,497	0.0222	-	-	_	0.61	0.61	
Highlands	933	2017	3,527,003	5,784	0.0003	-	-	-	0.17	0.17	0.6
		2016	3,493,040	5,729	0.0003	-	-	_	0.16	0.16	
		2015	3,256,521	4,885	0.0003	-	-	_	0.19	0.19	
		2014	3,273,889	4,420	0.0002	218,229	6.7	0.01	0.18	0.19	
Kings Mountain	10,719	2017	12,834,339	55,188	0.0043	2,254,000	17.6	0.18	0.43	0.61	0.4
		2016	12,927,321	51,709	0.0045	2,247,000	17.4	0.20	0.41	0.60	
		2015	12,515,991	50,064	0.0046	1,840,000	14.7	0.17	0.44	0.60	
		2014	11,447,207	45,789	0.0047	1,820,000	15.9	0.19	0.42	0.61	
Lucama	1,148	2017	1,725,974	8,630	0.0239		-	-	0.50	0.50	0.6
	,	2016	1,725,974	8,630	0.0235	-	-	-	0.54	0.54	
		2015	1,725,864	8,629	0.0231	-	-	-	0.55	0.55	
		2014	1,725,864	8,629	0.0230	_	_		0.54	0.54	

			(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(D/A)	<b>(E)</b>	<b>(F)</b>	(E+F)	
				Est. Loss in Prop. T	Transfers	From the Elec	ctric Fund	Tax R	ate per \$100	- Adjusted	
			$\mathbf{Gross}$	Revenues Due to Ci	to t	he General F	for Assessment-to-Sales Ratio				
			<b>Electric Fund</b>	Ownership of Utilia	ty		As a % of	As a	Effec-	Without	Non-
	Popu-		Fixed Assets		Tax Rate		Elec. Fixed	Tax Rate	tive	Electric	Electric
Unit	lation	Year	at Cost	Amount	Equivalent	Amount	Assets	Equivalent	Actual	Transfers	Avg.
r Units with Ele	ectric Systems	s (continu	<u>ied)</u>								
Macclesfield	447	2017	NR	NR	NA	NR	NR	NA	NA	NA	0.3
		2016	268,960	995	0.0053	-	-	-	0.38	0.38	
		2015	268,960	995	0.0054	-	-	-	0.38	0.38	
		2014	268,960	995	0.0054	-	-	-	0.38	0.38	
Oak City	304	2017	230,979	924	0.0052	60,000	26.0	0.33	0.44	0.77	0.3
		2016	216,979	868	0.0049	65,000	30.0	0.37	0.43	0.80	
		2015	216,979	868	0.0048	65,000	30.0	0.36	0.44	0.80	
		2014	216,979	868	0.0048	47,000	21.7	0.26	0.41	0.67	
Pinetops	1,291	2017	NR	NR	NA	NR	NR	NA	NA	NA	0.3
		2016	1,453,974	4,798	0.0081	354,000	24.3	0.60	0.34	0.94	
		2015	1,417,478	4,678	0.0080	352,940	24.9	0.61	0.34	0.95	
		2014	1,406,649	4,642	0.0080	370,000	26.3	0.64	0.34	0.98	
Sharpsburg	1,950	2017	3,160,882	20,546	0.0304	100,000.0	3.2	0.15	0.66	0.81	0.3
		2016	2,971,016	19,312	0.0284	140,000	4.7	0.21	0.66	0.87	
		2015	2,971,016	19,312	0.0290	110,000	3.7	0.17	0.65	0.82	
		2014	3,022,833	19,648	0.0290	-	-	-	0.65	0.65	
Stantonsburg	783	2017	2,566,414	12,832	0.0360	260,000	10.1	0.73	0.50	1.23	0.6
		2016	2,514,958	12,575	0.0328	250,000	9.9	0.65	0.54	1.20	
		2015	2,484,844	11,430	0.0275	250,000	10.1	0.60	0.51	1.11	
		2014	2,483,837	11,426	0.0274	230,000	9.3	0.55	0.50	1.05	
Walstonburg	217	2017	415,728	2,079	0.0184	-	-	-	0.49	0.49	0.3
		2016	415,728	2,079	0.0188	-	-	-	0.52	0.52	
		2015	415,728	2,079	0.0188	-	-	-	0.52	0.52	
		2014	415,728	2,079	0.0183	-	-	-	0.52	0.52	
Waynesville	10,065	2017	8,281,149	40,222	0.0034	1,275,600	15.4	0.11	0.48	0.59	0.4
·		2016	8,281,149	36,288	0.0031	1,275,600	15.4	0.11	0.43	0.54	
		2015	8,296,870	36,357	0.0032	1,275,600	15.4	0.11	0.45	0.57	
		2014	8,245,973	33,660	0.0029	1,275,600	15.5	0.11	0.42	0.53	
Windsor	3,414	2017	7,487,748	10,857	0.0078	750,000	10.0	0.54	0.14	0.68	0.6
		2016	7,340,014	10,643	0.0076	740,000	10.1	0.53	0.14	0.67	
		2015	7,213,362	10,459	0.0075	900,000	12.5	0.64	0.14	0.78	
		2014	7,282,004	10,559	0.0093	615,000	8.4	0.54	0.14	0.68	

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2017, 2016, 2015, and 2014

			(A) Gross	(B) Est. Loss in Prop. Ta			(D/A) From the Elective General From			(E+F) ate per \$100 essment-to-S	•
	Down		Electric Fund Fixed Assets				As a % of Elec. Fixed	As a Tax Rate	Effec-	Without Electric	Non- Electric
Unit	Popu- lation	Year	at Cost	Amount	Equivalent	Amount	Assets	Equivalent	Actual	Transfers	Avg.
Other Units with Ele	ctric Systems	s (continu	<u>ed)</u>								
Winterville	9,368	2017	NR	NR	NA	NR	NR	NA	NA	NA	0.33
		2016	9,721,992	46,179	0.0061	215,444	2.2	0.03	0.47	0.50	
		2015	9,623,339	45,711	0.0062	$188,\!552$	2.0	0.03	0.48	0.50	
		2014	9,561,739	45,418	0.0063	230,192	2.4	0.03	0.48	0.51	

#### Additional information to Table B:

The Local Government Commission requested copies of transfer policies from all the members of the NC Eastern Municipal Power Agency and the NC Municipal Power Agency Number 1 to analyze their efforts to reduce transfers from the Electric Fund to the General Fund. Presently, each member of both Power Agencies has adopted a transfer policy that either prohibits transfers to the General Fund or provides for an adequate reduction of transfers to the General Fund.

The Murphy Power Board is not included in this table. See Table A for further explanation.

\* Based on legislative effective July 1, 2016, the City of Fayetteville no longer reports the Public Works Commission as part of the City. Therefore, there are no transfers between the City and the Public Works Commission after the effective date.

# Analysis of Transfers to the General Fund by Municipal Electric Systems and Effects on Property Taxes NC Eastern Municipal Power Agency

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2017, 2016, 2015, and 2014

		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(D/A)	<b>(E)</b>	(F)	(E+F)	
			Est. Loss i	n Prop. Tax	Transfers	From the Elec	tric Fund	Tax Ra	te per \$100 - A	djusted
		$\mathbf{Gross}$	Revenues	Due to City	to the General Fund			for Assessment-to-Sales Ratio		
		Electric Fund	Ownersh	ip of Utility		As a % of	As a	Effec-	Without	Non-
		Fixed Assets		Tax Rate		Elec. Fixed	Tax Rate	$\mathbf{tive}$	Electric	Electric
-	Year	at Cost	Amount	Equivalent	Amount	Assets	Equivalent	Actual	Transfers	Avg.
Minimum	2017	\$ 459,651	\$ 2,988	-	-	-	-	0.37	0.39	0.32
	2016	313,711	1,631	-	-	-	-	0.24	0.24	
	2015	322,111	1,675	-	-	-	-	0.26	0.26	
	2014	322,111	1,675	-	-	-	-	0.26	0.27	
Maximum	2017	288,789,703	1,501,706	0.04	5,187,558	5.92	0.27	0.72	0.80	0.46
	2016	272,282,881	1,443,099	0.04	5,542,975	6.78	0.19	0.77	0.78	
	2015	261,217,643	1,410,575	0.04	5,143,889	4.70	0.19	0.72	0.77	
	2014	250,095,253	1,300,495	0.04	4,833,702	4.96	0.19	0.70	0.75	
Mean *	2017	39,579,685	205,401	0.01	676,028	1.71	0.04	0.52	0.57	0.37
	2016	32,185,154	163,648	0.01	562,038	1.75	0.04	0.52	0.56	
	2015	31,129,720	157,416	0.01	524,909	1.69	0.04	0.53	0.57	
	2014	30,124,110	149,545	0.01	496,805	1.65	0.04	0.53	0.57	
Median	2017	16,607,614	66,619	0.01	175,000	1.61	0.05	0.53	0.60	0.37
	2016	10,632,534	49,849	0.01	58,926	1.06	0.03	0.54	0.59	
	2015	10,188,040	47,980	0.01	8,390	0.38	0.01	0.54	0.57	
	2014	9,764,504	42,559	0.01	52,029	0.92	0.03	0.54	0.58	
Standard Deviation	2017	61,703,614	326,586	0.01	1,168,225	1.69	0.07	0.09	0.10	0.05
	2016	54,823,099	289,128	0.01	1,154,802	1.74	0.05	0.11	0.12	
	2015	52,812,778	281,910	0.01	1,113,477	1.56	0.05	0.10	0.10	
	2014	50,902,590	264,396	0.01	1,004,402	1.55	0.05	0.09	0.10	

Note: The "Transfers as a Tax Rate Equivalent" column (E) plus the "Effective Actual" Tax Rate column (F) will not necessarily equal the amount shown in the "Tax Rate Without Electric Transfers" column (E+F) because each entry is a separately calculated statistic.

# Analysis of Transfers to the General Fund by Municipal Electric Systems and Effects on Property Taxes NC Municipal Power Agency No. 1

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2017, 2016, 2015, and 2014

		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(D/A)	<b>(E)</b>	<b>(F)</b>	(E+F)	
			Est. Loss in Prop. Tax			From the Elec		Tax Rate per \$100 - Adjusted for Assessment-to-Sales Ratio		
			Gross Revenues Due to C		to t					
		Electric Fund	Ownersh	ip of Utility		As a % of	As a	Effec-	Without	Non-
		Fixed Assets		Tax Rate		Elec. Fixed	Tax Rate	tive	Electric	Electric
	Year	at Cost	Amount	Equivalent	Amount	Assets	Equivalent	Actual	Transfers	Avg.
Minimum	2017	\$ 674,115	\$ 1,753	-	\$ (696)	(0.01)	-	0.22	0.22	0.33
	2016	657,836	1,710	-	-	-	-	0.22	0.22	
	2015	657,836	1,710	-	-	-	-	0.23	0.23	
	2014	657,836	1,710	-	-	-	-	0.24	0.24	
Maximum	2017	138,554,283	897,139	0.03	2,047,230	2.82	0.10	0.65	0.74	0.46
	2016	137,373,273	892,926	0.02	2,003,328	5.16	0.12	0.62	0.70	
	2015	136,293,946	904,992	0.02	1,955,007	5.01	0.12	0.65	0.73	
	2014	132,344,867	893,328	0.02	2,000,000	4.84	0.12	0.67	0.74	
Mean *	2017	35,949,452	172,536	0.01	408,107	1.14	0.02	0.45	0.47	0.43
	2016	34,392,578	$162,\!274$	0.01	485,592	1.41	0.02	0.45	0.47	
	2015	33,576,132	158,667	0.01	412,980	1.23	0.02	0.47	0.49	
	2014	32,855,240	154,712	0.01	439,111	1.34	0.02	0.48	0.50	
Median	2017	24,451,188	85,647	0.01	25,975	0.19	-	0.47	0.47	0.43
	2016	23,485,087	80,980	0.01	28,103	0.64	0.01	0.46	0.47	
	2015	21,465,183	76,520	0.01	20,000	0.54	-	0.50	0.50	
	2014	20,819,670	68,137	0.01	25,975	0.55	-	0.49	0.49	
Standard Deviatio	2017	43,159,583	267,278	0.01	680,602	1.01	0.03	0.12	0.13	0.05
	2016	41,238,075	255,633	0.01	670,134	1.45	0.04	0.12	0.14	
	$\boldsymbol{2015}$	40,779,279	252,135	0.01	633,955	1.52	0.04	0.13	0.14	
	2014	40,039,534	249,660	0.01	681,768	1.55	0.04	0.13	0.14	

Note: The "Transfers as a Tax Rate Equivalent" column (E) plus the "Effective Actual" Tax Rate column (F) will not necessarily equal the amount shown in the "Tax Rate Without Electric Transfers" column (E+F) because each entry is a separately calculated statistic.

# Analysis of Transfers to the General Fund by Municipal Electric Systems and Effects on Property Taxes Other Units With Electric Systems

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2017, 2016, 2015, and 2014

		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(D/A)	<b>(E)</b>	<b>(F)</b>	(E+F)	
			Est. Loss in Prop. Tax Gross Revenues Due to City		Transfers	From the Elec	tric Fund	Tax Rate per \$100 - Adjusted for Assessment-to-Sales Ratio		
		$\mathbf{Gross}$			to t	he General Fu	nd			
		<b>Electric Fund</b>	Ownersh	ip of Utility		As a % of	As a	Effec-	Without	Non-
		Fixed Assets		Tax Rate		Elec. Fixed	Tax Rate	tive	Electric	Electric
	Year	at Cost	Amount	Equivalent	Amount	Assets	Equivalent	Actual	Transfers	Avg.
Minimum	2017	\$ 230,979	\$ 924	-	\$ -	-	-	0.14	0.17	0.32
	2016	216,979	868	-	-	-	-	0.14	0.16	
	2015	216,979	868	-	-	-	-	0.14	0.19	
	2014	216,979	868	-	-	-	-	0.14	0.19	
Maximum	2017	585,772,860	2,925,935	0.04	3,038,973	25.98	0.73	0.82	1.23	0.46
	2016	568,155,485	2,761,236	0.03	3,006,294	29.96	0.65	0.82	1.27	
	2015	552,045,476	2,682,941	0.03	12,527,760	29.96	0.64	0.77	1.24	
	2014	529,731,854	2,415,577	0.03	12,282,768	26.30	0.64	0.75	1.26	
Mean *	2017	57,074,088	263,494	0.01	665,847	1.17	0.03	0.47	0.50	0.46
	2016	41,454,663	187,072	0.01	528,087	1.27	0.03	0.45	0.49	
	2015	40,301,007	180,698	0.01	1,117,895	2.77	0.07	0.46	0.53	
	2014	38,802,468	168,028	0.01	1,136,264	2.93	0.07	0.45	0.52	
Median	2017	5,507,376	22,176	0.01	180,000	6.06	0.13	0.48	0.60	0.36
	2016	2,971,016	12,575	0.01	215,444	4.71	0.11	0.45	0.60	
	2015	2,971,016	11,430	0.01	250,000	3.70	0.11	0.47	0.60	
	2014	3,022,833	11,426	0.01	230,192	6.67	0.09	0.47	0.58	
Standard Deviation	2017	156,872,213	783,998	0.01	942,878	9.21	0.22	0.17	0.25	0.05
	2016	131,371,997	639,114	0.01	825,962	9.73	0.22	0.16	0.27	
	$\boldsymbol{2015}$	127,643,789	621,033	0.01	2,837,978	9.35	0.23	0.15	0.25	
	2014	122,584,792	561,144	0.01	2,805,741	9.14	0.22	0.15	0.24	

Note: The "Transfers as a Tax Rate Equivalent" column (E) plus the "Effective Actual" Tax Rate column (F) will not necessarily equal the amount shown in the "Tax Rate Without Electric Transfers" column (E+F) because each entry is a separately calculated statistic.

Table B (cont.)

#### Statistical Analysis of Transfers to the General Fund by Municipal Electric Systems

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2017, 2016, 2015, and 2014

### **Definitions:**

Minimum - The smallest value found within a set of numbers.

Maximum - The largest value found within a set of numbers.

Mean - The mean or average is a measure reflecting the center of a distribution of values.

\* The mean or average is calculated on the total for the population group for each component of the formula for the years presented.

Statistical reports issued before July 2012 calculated the mean or average based on the individual unit's mean or average value.

Median - The middle value of an ordered set of numbers. From the ordered set, an equal number of values will be above and below the middle value. If there is an even number of numbers in the set, then the median is an average of the two numbers in the middle.

Standard Deviation - measures the degree to which individual values in a list vary from the mean (average). The lower the standard deviation, the less individual values vary from the mean, and the more reliable the mean. As a general rule, if the data are normally distributed, 68% of the values will be within one standard deviation of the mean and 95% of the values will be within two standard deviations of the mean. The standard deviation can be particularly useful in identifying "outliers," i.e., values that are unusually high or low.

# **Key to Definitions and Formulas**

## **Populations**

Estimates of the permanent residents as of July 1 at the beginning of the fiscal year obtained from the Office of State Budget and Management.

### **Gross Electric Fund Fixed Assets**

The total cost of the Electric Fund fixed assets, before depreciation, as reported in the audited financial statements.

## Estimated Loss in Property Tax Revenues Due to City Ownership of Utility

### **Amount**

Gross Electric Fund fixed assets x tax rate
100

## Tax Rate Equivalent

Amount calculated above x 100
The unit's assessed valuation

### Transfers From the Electric Fund to the General Fund

### **Amount**

Actual transfers from the Electric Fund to the General Fund less any transfers from the General Fund to the Electric Fund as reported in the unit's audited financial statements.

### As a % of Electric Fund Fixed Assets

The transfer amount above x 100
The unit's gross Electric Fund fixed assets

### As a Tax Rate Equivalent

The transfer amount above x 100
The unit's assessed valuation

# **Key to Definitions and Formulas**

## Tax Rate per \$100 - Adjusted for the Assessment-to-Sales Ratio

## **Effective Actual**

The unit-wide tax rate for the year indicated, multiplied by the assessment-to sales-ratio of the county in which the unit is located. For municipalities whose corporate limits are in more than one county, the assessment-to-sales ratio of the county with the largest assessed valuation has been used.

### Without Electric Fund Transfers

The effective actual tax rate above plus the tax rate equivalent of transfers from the Electric Fund to the General Fund.

## Non-electric Average

The average unit-wide tax rate for non-electric municipalities of a comparable size. In calculating the non-electric averages, the following six population groupings were used: 50,000 and above; 10,000 to 49,999; 2,500 to 9,999; 1,000 to 2,499; 500 to 999; and 499 and below.

## **Group and Statewide Averages**

These statistics were compiled by the staff of the Local Government Commission from audited financial statements.

## Ten Years of Comparative Data on Electric Fund Transfers as a Percentage of General Fund Revenues

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2008 through 2017

## Transfers from the Electric Fund to the General Fund

as a Percentage of General Fund Revenues

				as a Perc	entage of Ger	ieral Fund Re	evenues			
Unit	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
N.C. Eastern Municipa	l Power Agency P	articipants								
Apex	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ayden	13.5	11.6	11.9	12.0	12.3	12.4	12.2	5.3	11.9	9.1
Belhaven	-	-	-	1.8	82.2	16.9	38.0	6.2	6.9	7.2
Benson	-	-	-	-	-	2.5	2.4	2.6	2.6	2.9
Clayton	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Edenton	7.0	7.4	7.3	8.3	8.4	10.9	12.9	8.4	4.5	5.5
Elizabeth City	5.1	5.3	5.6	6.2	6.4	6.2	6.2	4.8	6.6	4.4
Farmville	7.4	6.9	7.7	5.9	6.8	7.1	6.4	7.7	6.5	3.6
Fremont	1.0	2.9	-	6.3	4.1	-	4.7	-	4.4	4.7
Greenville	7.0	7.9	7.3	7.3	7.1	6.9	6.8	6.7	6.7	6.1
Hamilton	NR	-	-	-	-	14.7	-	-	-	-
Hertford	4.6	5.0	5.3	5.4	5.7	5.4	5.9	5.7	-	-
Hobgood	-	-	-	1.8	2.0	1.5	(7.4)	-	2.7	1.5
Hookerton	9.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(5.1)
Kinston	4.0	4.0	2.5	2.6	4.4	4.4	4.7	4.7	4.7	5.2
La Grange	NR	9.4	6.6	3.2	-	-	1.4	3.3	2.7	0.9
Laurinburg	0.9	0.9	-	-	-	15.7	10.7	10.6	8.1	-
Louisburg	10.6	-	-	9.5	9.3	10.5	14.2	9.0	7.3	7.8
Lumberton	0.9	1.4	1.1	0.7	-	3.4	4.6	2.6	2.9	2.5
New Bern	8.7	10.2	10.5	8.2	6.8	5.9	6.2	6.2	5.1	4.8
Pikeville	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Red Springs	0.3	0.3	0.3	-	0.4	11.7	-	-	-	-
Robersonville		4.3	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	2.8	9.2	4.8
Rocky Mount	3.7	3.1	3.2	2.7	3.0	2.9	3.0	3.4	3.5	3.3
Scotland Neck	NR	0.5	2.8	0.2	8.4	7.9	11.0	11.1	2.4	10.6
Selma	3.2	3.4	-	3.7	4.0	4.4	5.7	5.3	3.6	2.3

## Ten Years of Comparative Data on Electric Fund Transfers as a Percentage of General Fund Revenues

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2008 through 2017

## Transfers from the Electric Fund to the General Fund

as a Percentage of General Fund Revenues

Unit	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
N.C. Eastern Municipa	l Power Agency P	articipants	(continued)							
Smithfield	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Southport	NR	-	-	0.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tarboro	12.7	13.2	15.0	13.3	16.4	13.0	14.3	14.5	11.1	13.6
Wake Forest	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Washington	9.6	6.9	4.2	3.9	7.8	8.3	9.9	11.4	6.1	7.1
Wilson	4.7	4.8	4.8	5.0	5.3	5.3	5.4	5.4	5.2	5.0
N.C. Municipal Power	Agency Number 1	Participant	: <u>s</u>							
Albemarle	2.6	5.7	7.6	8.9	7.5	7.7	8.3	8.2	2.6	8.0
Bostic	10.9	13.1	12.8	12.8	12.0	12.7	13.3	15.4	14.6	15.0
Cherryville	-	-	-	-	-	5.2	4.7	2.1	6.7	5.0
Cornelius	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Drexel	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gastonia	3.3	2.8	2.8	3.5	3.6	3.8	3.6	3.9	3.8	3.9
Granite Falls	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
High Point	2.0	2.0	1.9	1.8	1.1	1.1	2.0	2.7	2.7	1.9
Huntersville	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Landis	-	10.8	10.7	10.0	6.3	-	10.8	9.7	6.0	9.0
Lexington	7.4	7.8	8.1	8.3	9.1	9.9	9.7	10.0	10.6	9.5
Lincolnton	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	-	0.3	0.3	0.3
Maiden	-	-	-	0.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Monroe	1.3	4.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0
Morganton	3.5	3.4	3.3	3.8	3.8	3.9	3.9	4.1	3.7	3.7
Newton	-	-	-	-	0.1	-	-	-	-	-
Pineville	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.5	-
Shelby	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.3	3.5	3.4	3.5	3.5	3.4	3.1
Statesville	0.3	1.2	1.1	1.0	1.2	1.2	1.0	1.2	1.1	0.9

## Ten Years of Comparative Data on Electric Fund Transfers as a Percentage of General Fund Revenues

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2008 through 2017

### Transfers from the Electric Fund to the General Fund

as a Percentage of General Fund Revenues

				as a Perce	entage of Gen	ieral Fund Re	venues			
Unit	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
Other Units with Electr	<u>ic Systems</u>									
Black Creek	NR	8.2	-	-	-	-	11.0	10.9	15.8	13.4
Concord	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.0	-	-
Dallas	34.6	30.2	18.5	17.3	34.8	17.8	25.3	24.8	23.9	19.5
Enfield	5.8	28.7	27.2	34.1	44.1	31.6	23.5	36.3	41.1	38.3
Fayetteville	*	*	8.8	9.1	8.3	7.5	7.3	7.2	6.9	8.6
Forest City	37.0	38.9	29.7	45.3	58.0	73.5	83.5	63.4	51.2	50.5
Fountain	NR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Highlands	-	-	-	3.7	-	-	7.3	-	-	-
Kings Mountain	19.5	22.3	18.2	20.1	24.5	21.4	21.3	23.1	23.3	21.7
Lucama	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12.7
Macclesfield	NR	-	-	-	-	-	12.6	-	-	-
Oak City	43.3	45.8	47.0	33.2	40.9	31.8	29.5	15.8	28.0	4.1
Pinetops	NR	52.7	57.5	59.0	55.3	55.2	92.0	90.3	67.6	9.3
Sharpsburg	7.6	11.4	8.9	-	3.2	-	6.9	8.6	42.8	34.2
Stantonsburg	54.9	50.8	51.1	46.5	44.3	43.5	45.1	45.2	42.6	44.6
Walstonburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.4	-
Waynesville	10.4	11.1	11.1	10.7	11.0	11.5	10.0	7.9	7.4	7.5
Windsor	31.6	44.2	58.4	38.8	44.9	39.8	44.9	51.0	40.1	35.7
Winterville	NR	3.0	2.7	3.4	2.1	9.3	10.5	6.7	3.3	6.0

<sup>\*</sup> Based on legislative effective July 1, 2016, the City of Fayetteville no longer reports the Public Works Commission as part of the City. Therefore, there are no transfers between the City and the Public Works Commission after the effective date.

## Statistical Analysis of Electric Fund Transfers As a Percentage of General Fund Revenues

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2008 through 2017

## Transfers from the Electric Fund to the General Fund As a Percentage of General Fund Revenues

	As a Percentage of General Fund Revenues									
	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
N.C. Eastern Munici	pal Power A	gency Parti	<u>cipants</u>							
Minimum	-	-	-	-	-	-	(7.4)	-	-	(5.1
Maximum	13.5	13.2	15.0	13.3	82.2	16.9	38.0	14.5	11.9	13.6
Mean *	4.0	4.1	3.9	3.8	4.3	4.6	4.7	4.4	4.1	3.8
Median	3.7	2.2	0.4	2.2	3.5	4.8	4.7	4.0	3.5	3.1
Standard Deviation	4.3	4.0	4.1	3.8	14.5	5.3	7.8	4.1	3.5	3.9
Minimum	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Minimum	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Maximum	10.9	13.1	12.8	12.8	12.0	12.7	13.3	15.4	14.6	15.0
Mean *	1.9	2.3	2.0	2.2	2.0	2.0	2.4	2.6	2.5	2.3
Median	0.3	1.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	1.0	1.2	1.1	0.9
Standard Deviation	2.9	3.9	4.0	4.1	3.7	3.8	4.3	4.5	4.1	4.3
Other Units with Ele	ectric Systen	n <u>s</u>								
Minimum	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Maximum	54.9	52.7	58.4	59.0	58.0	73.5	92.0	90.3	67.6	50.5
Mean *	3.2	3.5	7.8	8.4	8.4	8.1	8.5	7.5	7.0	7.7
Median	9.0	11.1	8.9	9.1	8.3	9.3	11.0	8.6	15.8	9.3
Standard Deviation	19.1	19.9	21.1	19.6	22.0	22.1	26.7	25.6	21.3	16.6

## Statistical Analysis of Electric Fund Transfers as a Percentage of General Fund Revenues

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2008 through 2017

#### **Definitions:**

Minimum - The smallest value found within a set of numbers.

Maximum - The largest value found within a set of numbers.

Mean - The mean or average is a measure reflecting the center of a distribution of values.

\* The mean or average is calculated on the total for the population group for each component of the formula
for the years presented.
 Statistical reports issued before July 2012 calculated the mean or average based on the individual unit's
mean or average value

Median - The middle value of an ordered set of numbers. From the ordered set, an equal number of values will be above and below the middle value. If there is an even number of numbers in the set, then the median is an average of the two numbers in the middle.

Standard Deviation - measures the degree to which individual values in a list vary from the mean (average). The lower the standard deviation, the less individual values vary from the mean, and the more reliable the mean. As a general rule, if the data are normally distributed, 68% of the values will be within one standard deviation of the mean and 95% of the values will be within two standard deviations of the mean. The standard deviation can be particularly useful in identifying "outliers," i.e., values that are unusually high or low. high or low.

## Analysis of Capital Outlay Expenditures of Municipal Electric Systems

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2017, 2016, 2015, 2014, and 2013

						Five Year	Average
		Electric Fun	d Capital Outlay	Expenditures			As a % of Electric Fund
Unit	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	Dollars	Revenues
N.C. Eastern Mun	icipal Power Agenc	ey Participants					
Apex	3,844,452	3,230,646	2,613,565	1,855,664	2,916,566	2,892,179	8.9
Ayden	897,271	543,371	1,766,690	147,375	26,300	676,201	5.5
Belhaven	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Benson	31,358	-	-	-	-	6,272	0.1
Clayton	4,920,573	731,098	714,982	713,156	$465,\!206$	1,509,003	12.0
Edenton	212,528	97,701	-	621,244	$647,\!524$	315,799	2.5
Elizabeth City	902,176	461,025	991,017	2,336,860	2,112,660	1,360,748	3.8
Farmville	132,088	64,614	265,927	46,637	236,365	149,126	2.1
Fremont	3,200	22,953	-	-	-	5,231	0.3
Greenville	17,000,562	9,987,017	11,359,840	9,526,428	9,966,474	11,568,064	6.1
Hamilton	NR	2,200	-	-	-	NA	NA
Hertford	35,000	-	70,370	-	-	21,074	0.7
Hobgood	-	-	2,800	25,241	-	5,608	1.1
Hookerton	50,378	23,195	102,312	5,596	2,500	36,796	4.3
Kinston	2,515,964	1,237,310	827,632	444,601	766,801	1,158,462	2.2
La Grange	NR	73,235	240,859	4,108	33,875	NA	NA
Laurinburg	132,861	398,062	395,701	829,259	$511,\!552$	453,487	2.8
Louisburg	150,753	45,114	86,185	13,674	43,073	67,760	0.0
Lumberton	$705,\!852$	428,186	647,087	203,464	1,246,694	$646,\!257$	1.8
New Bern	4,149,243	2,542,921	4,984,704	1,428,353	2,201,120	3,061,268	5.2
Pikeville	-	-	4,076	35,536	-	7,922	0.6
Red Springs	308,976	-	100,751	-	20,420	86,029	2.0
Robersonville	NR	-	-	-	35,156	NA	NA
Rocky Mount	5,269,852	2,959,933	2,028,545	2,520,843	2,762,953	3,108,425	3.5
Scotland Neck	NR	69,162	50,607	38,532	26,599	NA	NA
Selma	162,999	48,025	201,515	209,525	33,243	131,061	1.6

## Analysis of Capital Outlay Expenditures of Municipal Electric Systems

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2017, 2016, 2015, 2014, and 2013

				Five Year	Average		
		Electric Fun	d Capital Outlay	Expenditures			As a % of Electric Fund
Unit	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	Dollars	Revenues
N.C. Eastern Mun	nicipal Power Agend	ev Participants (c	continued)				
Smithfield	1,157,948	200,780	148,068	-	112,551	323,869	1.'
Southport	NR	1,163,659	481,221	241,032	429,513	NA	NA
Tarboro	1,615,249	416,737	464,378	249,879	1,602,386	869,726	3.5
Wake Forest	1,765,632	1,411,992	2,427,478	2,194,799	815,542	1,723,089	9.0
Washington	2,628,414	2,393,719	2,064,291	2,182,065	1,811,030	2,215,904	6.3
Wilson	9,593,244	3,882,561	3,419,941	4,468,535	4,394,938	5,151,844	3.
<del>-</del>	ower Agency Numb	<del>-</del>					
Albemarle	857,992	856,202	544,262	$1,\!370,\!652$	1,160,280	$957,\!878$	2.
Bostic	16,279	-	-	-	-	$3,\!256$	0.
Cherryville	211,314	212,828	15,000	-	112,321	110,293	1.
Cornelius	564,844	$517,\!688$	581,294	176,935	195,216	407,195	6.
Drexel	30,090	35,500	4,849	-	-	14,088	0.
Gastonia	4,248,198	1,849,847	2,438,448	2,441,733	2,863,643	2,768,374	3.
Granite Falls	289,160	240,153	167,935	3,529	36,537	147,463	2.
High Point	1,385,636	1,079,328	3,985,378	7,298,882	3,428,179	3,435,481	2.
Huntersville	1,530,171	1,462,348	$969,\!152$	1,237,665	1,618,213	1,363,510	8.
Landis	83,680	35,402	209,144	189,410	164,911	136,509	2.
Lexington	2,913,835	942,289	1,272,306	382,836	512,643	1,204,782	2.
Lincolnton	$227,\!242$	111,296	141,116	39,579	12,600	106,367	1.
Maiden	561,396	143,569	254,692	17,000	34,863	202,304	3.
Monroe	13,200,429	3,486,127	3,093,491	3,182,395	6,068,658	5,806,220	9.
Morganton	966,101	717,367	697,498	832,893	1,032,448	849,261	2.
Newton	722,596	3,682,279	645,513	959,467	1,158,882	1,433,747	9.
Pineville	1,521,323	1,230,683	340,569	245,888	279,300	723,553	6.
Shelby	912,299	426,898	155,841	304,959	488,935	457,786	2.
Statesville	1,940,310	1,599,104	1,837,910	1,686,127	1,153,343	1,643,359	3.

## Analysis of Capital Outlay Expenditures of Municipal Electric Systems

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2017, 2016, 2015, 2014, and 2013

						Five Year	Average
		Electric Fun	d Capital Outlay	Expenditures			As a % of Electric Fund
Unit	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	Dollars	Revenues
Other Units with E	Electric Systems						
Black Creek	NR	11,405	-	11,930	12,265	NA	NA
Concord	7,299,265	4,675,686	3,545,245	3,012,123	2,227,283	4,151,920	5.1
Dallas	495,304	739,276	1,812,799	575,565	655,007	855,590	10.5
Enfield	-	113,294	18,380	52,960	86,420	54,211	1.3
Fayetteville	19,595,361	25,682,602	28,780,194	22,754,898	17,728,546	22,908,320	10.4
Forest City	79,817	151,404	203,058	558,860	3,995	199,427	1.7
Fountain	NR	-	37,800	-	-	NA	NA
Highlands	33,963	236,519	-	-	280,338	110,164	2.0
Kings Mountain	163,655	440,381	1,176,768	679,630	705,146	633,116	4.9
Lucama	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Macclesfield	NR	-	-	-	-	NA	NA
Oak City	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pinetops	NR	36,496	10,829	35,121	290,000	NA	NA
Sharpsburg	189,866	-	650	19,594	-	42,022	1.8
Stantonsburg	53,596	31,189	13,486	67,650	46,267	42,438	1.7
Walstonburg	-	-	-	1,500	-	300	0.1
Waynesville	-	11,494	50,896	4,248	209,769	55,281	0.6
Windsor	147,734	126,652	168,066	196,294	57,514	139,252	2.7
Winterville	NR	98,653	61,600	66,849	94,720	NA	NA

#### Statistical Analysis of Capital Outlay Expenditures of Municipal Electric Systems

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2017, 2016, 2015, 2014, and 2013

			Five Year	Average			
-	N.C. Eastern	Municipal	N.C. Municipal	Power Agency	Other Un	its With	
	Power Agency	Participants	Number 1 P	articipants	Electric Systems		
-		As a % of		As a % of		As a % of	
		Electric		Electric		Electric	
		Fund		Fund		Fund	
-	Dollars	Revenues	Dollars	Revenues	Dollars	Revenues	
Minimum	-	-	3,256	0.6	-	-	
Maximum	11,568,064	12.0	5,806,220	9.7	22,908,320	10.5	
Mean *	1,191,536	4.5	1,145,864	4.1	1,544,504	7.8	
Median	232,463	2.1	723,553	2.7	42,438	1.3	
Standard Deviation	2,409,750	3.0	1,470,848	2.9	6,091,442	3.5	

#### **Definitions:**

Minimum - The smallest value found within a set of numbers.

Maximum - The largest value found within a set of numbers.

Mean - The mean or average is a measure reflecting the center of a distribution of values.

\* The mean or average is calculated on the total for the population group for each component of the formula for the years presented.
Statistical reports issued before July 2012 calculated the mean or average based on the individual unit's mean or average value.

Median - The middle value of an ordered set of numbers. From the ordered set, an equal number of values will be above and below the middle value. If there is an even number of numbers in the set, then the median is an average of the two numbers in the middle.

Standard Deviation - measures the degree to which individual values in a list vary from the mean (average). The lower the standard deviation, the less individual values vary from the mean, and the more reliable the mean. As a general rule, if the data are normally distributed, 68% of the values will be within one standard deviation of the mean and 95% of the values will be within two standard deviations of the mean. The standard deviation can be particularly useful in identifying "outliers," i.e., values that are unusually high or low.