# JANET COWELL

TREASURER

#### STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA

#### DEPARTMENT OF STATE TREASURER

State and Local Government Finance Division and the Local Government Commission

T. VANCE HOLLOMAN DEPUTY TREASURER

Memorandum # 1122

May 4, 2009

TO: County Officials and Certified Public Accountants

FROM: Sharon Edmundson, Director Fiscal Management Section

SUBJECT: Management of Cash and Taxes and Fund Balance Available – Counties for the Fiscal Year ended June 30, 2008

This publication provides comparative cash and investment, fund balance, and tax levy information of county governments for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008. As in the past, we have added the county assessment-to-sales ratios and have calculated effective tax rates. (Note: the effective tax rate is calculated by multiplying the county-wide tax rate by the assessment-to-sales ratio.) Providing the effective tax rates should result in a better comparison of tax rates between counties, given those counties are at different points on their revaluation cycles. In addition, the average unit-wide effective tax rates for the last five fiscal years are presented. The statistics provide a range of highest and lowest items within a grouping and the mathematical average. Tax collection percentages and average tax collection percentages are presented for all property, all property other than motor vehicles, and for motor vehicles only. This analysis presents information for the State as a whole and the following population groupings: 100,000 and above; 50,000 to 99,999; 25,000 to 49,999; and 24,999 and below.

County officials are encouraged to compare their own performances to similar counties and to statewide averages. Such comparisons may identify opportunities for improvement or may indicate improved performances from previous fiscal years. For those counties with below average tax collection rates, collection procedures should be reviewed to determine if more effective means of collection are available. An improvement in tax collection rates provides numerous benefits to counties. It provides more revenues to finance programs, generates additional funds for the investment program, and allows the property tax rate to be lower than it would otherwise have to be. Section 50, "Tax Assessment, Billing, and Collection" in the North Carolina Department of State Treasurer Policies Manual, provides information on collection procedures. This section is available on our web site at <a href="https://www.nctreasurer.com">www.nctreasurer.com</a>, under the state and local government link, then the auditing and reporting line. Please contact Ms. Lisa Olson, 919-807-2382, if you need to order a hard copy of this section. Also, the Institute of Government at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill offers courses in tax collection that may benefit tax collectors in carrying out their statutory responsibilities.

Given the role assumed by the counties in billing and collecting motor vehicle taxes for all residents, including those within municipalities, municipal officials should periodically consider consolidating the property tax functions of counties and municipalities. Again Section 50, "Tax Assessment, Billing, and Collection," contains a discussion on consolidated property tax functions. In addition, Memorandum #692, Consolidating County and Municipal Property Tax Functions and Memorandum #929, Results of Municipal and County Survey on Consolidating and Billing of Tax Functions, which discuss joint arrangements utilized by many counties and municipalities, are available from our web site. Consolidating the property tax functions should provide more economical use of equipment, office personnel, supplies, and postage. A single tax billing and collection office would simplify taxpayers' efforts to pay and inquire about the status of their taxes. Also, especially for smaller units, a consolidated office should be able to enforce tax collections (attachment and garnishment, levy and foreclosure) at a lower cost. Further, in a cooperative relationship, municipal officials may be able to provide information on delinquent taxpayers that may help facilitate collection of county taxes due.

The statewide and population grouping tax collection percentages over the last five fiscal years are as follows:

# **Average Current Year Tax Collection Percentages**

Population Grouping	<u>2003-04</u>	<u>2004-05</u>	<u>2005-06</u>	<u>2006-07</u>	2007-08
Statewide	96.70%	97.04%	96.63%	97.43%	97.38%
100,000 and Above	97.28	97.59	96.81	97.92	97.83
50,000 to 99,999	95.70	96.10	96.44	96.47	96.52
25,000 to 49,999	95.50	95.86	96.09	96.47	96.23
24,999 and Below	94.78	95.03	95.61	95.13	95.55

The statewide tax collection percentage for 2007-08 decreased slightly from the previous year as a result of a decrease in two of the four population groups, including the largest population group. Collection percentages dropped in the 100,000 and Above and in the 25,000 to 49,999 groups. Overall the tax collection percentages for most units in the State are high but there is room for improvement in some instances.

An overall trend that can be noted is that tax collection percentages for counties vary according to population, with the largest counties having the highest tax collection percentages. This trend is consistent for the four preceding years and generally continues to be so. Within each population grouping, there may be substantial variation in collection rates, meaning that not all small counties have lower tax collection rates and vice versa. Again, our overall collection rates remain high, regardless of population group.

#### Average 2007-08 Tax Collection Percentages

Population Grouping	Excluding Motor Vehicles	<u>Motor</u> <u>Vehicles</u>
Statewide	98.37	87.20%
100,000 and Above	98.77	88.02
50,000 to 99,999	97.67	85.47
25,000 to 49,999	97.19	85.37
24,999 and Below	96.63	84.01

These figures are included in the report because the methods of billing and collecting taxes differ between motor vehicles and other classes of property. The same trend noted for all property is noted for motor vehicle taxes also. Tax collection percentages for counties vary according to population, with the largest counties generally having the highest tax collection percentages.

Tax collectors from those counties that have the higher collection percentages for motor vehicles indicate that they send out multiple late notices for vehicle taxes. Some of those counties also aggressively attach the assets and garnish the wages of a delinquent taxpayer. Units that rely solely upon the block of subsequent year registrations placed with the Division of Motor Vehicles should eventually collect a high percentage of motor vehicle taxes, but their current year collection percentages of motor vehicle taxes will probably be lower than those that use more aggressive tax collection procedures.

The statewide and population grouping statistics on the unit-wide property tax rates over the last five fiscal years are as follows:

#### Average Unit-Wide Tax Rates (per \$100)

Population Grouping	<u>2003-04</u>	<u>2004-05</u>	<u>2005-06</u>	<u>2006-07</u>	2007-08
Statewide	\$0.6494	\$0.6460	\$0.6504	\$0.6489	\$0.6271
100,000 and Above 50,000 to 99,999 25,000 to 49,999 24,999 and Below	0.6684 0.6158 0.5882 0.6770	0.6659 $0.6147$ $0.5748$ $0.6720$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.6884 \\ 0.6170 \\ 0.5209 \\ 0.5822 \end{array}$	0.6857 0.6336 0.5025 0.5615	0.6827 $0.5463$ $0.5553$ $0.4852$

The averages shown above for all five fiscal years are calculated on a dollar-weighted basis. For most counties the tax rate is lower in the fiscal years immediately following revaluation. Tax rates usually increase as a county moves through the revaluation cycle, reaching a peak immediately before revaluation. The overall trend shows a decrease in tax rates.

#### Average Unit-Wide Effective Tax Rates (per \$100)

Population Grouping	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06	<u>2006-07</u>	2007-08
Statewide	\$.5936	\$.5912	\$.5941	\$.5687	\$.5592
100,000 and Above 50,000 to 99,999 25,000 to 49,999 24,999 and Below	.6244 .5719 .4885 .5382	.6291 .5635 .4634 .5196	.6409 .5517 .4582 .4934	.6199 .5265 .4289 .4309	.6198 .4884 .4248 .4116

The above table shows the effective tax rates. The effective tax rate equals the property tax levy divided by the estimated market value of assessed property. The averages in the above table also are dollar weighted. Like the tax rate table, the effective tax rate table shows a decrease in effective tax rates over the five-year period.

Each year the staff of the Local Government Commission analyzes the financial statements of cities and counties to determine the amount of fund balance available for appropriation in the General Fund, and the amount of fund balance available for appropriation as a percentage of prior year expenditures. These numbers are significant because the property tax is a major source of revenue in the General Fund. The majority of property tax revenues are received in the latter months of the calendar year. Therefore, there should be reserves on hand in the form of fund balance available for appropriation at June 30th to prevent the unit from experiencing cash flow difficulties during the first two quarters of the next fiscal year. The minimum level of fund balance available for appropriation that should be on hand to enable the unit to meet current obligations and to prevent the unit from experiencing cash flow difficulties is 8% of the prior year's expenditures.

In addition to the 8% needed to prevent cash flow difficulties, units also maintain fund balance available for appropriation in the General Fund in case unforeseen needs or opportunities should arise. Fund balance available for appropriation at June 30th is a source that may be budgeted in the following year to address these situations. There is not an established minimum amount that should be in reserve for these purposes. The officials of the individual units should make that determination. The amount of fund balance available for appropriation maintained by a particular unit would be influenced by such factors as the size of the unit, economic conditions within the unit, future capital outlay needs, stability of revenue sources and susceptibility of the unit to natural disasters.

The staff sends letters to units if the amount of fund balance available for appropriation as a percentage of prior year expenditures in the General Fund falls below 8%. The staff also compares the percentage of fund balance available for appropriation to the prior year percentages for similar units. If that percentage is materially below the average of similar units, the staff will send a letter to alert the unit of this fact. Units will be encouraged to evaluate the amounts in reserves and determine if their level is adequate.

The chart below shows the average percentage of fund balance available for appropriation for similarly grouped counties for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008. Officials should use these figures to compare their unit to similar units and evaluate the adequacy of their unit's current reserves.

# Average Available Fund Balance for North Carolina Counties

		Average	Average	Average FBA%
	Number			
Type of Unit	of	2007-2008	2007-2008	2007-2008
by Size	Units	Fund Balance	Expenditures	Expenditures
Counties				
All*	99	\$20,763,270	\$102,992,411	20.16%
100,000 or more*	25	49,880,639	273,168,888	18.26~%
50,000 to 99,999	27	17,808,995	71,781,520	24.81%
25,000 to 49,999*	22	9,860,263	43,533,170	22.65%
Under 25,000*	25	4,431,166	18,760,229	23.62%

<sup>\*</sup>As of May 4, 2009, we have not received the audit report for Graham County, therefore the fund balance available figure for this county is not included.

The statistics presented in this report were gathered from various sources. The investment earnings, cash and investments, tax collection rates, and uncollected tax amounts were compiled from the 2008 Annual Financial Information Reports (LGC-36 or AFIR) submitted to the Department of State Treasurer. In some cases, financial information comes from the audited financial statements. The assessed valuation, tax rate, and last year of revaluation for each county were compiled from the Assessed Valuation and Property Tax Levies for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2008 reports (TR-1-01) submitted to the Department of Revenue. The N.C. Department of Revenue calculates the assessment-to-sales ratios annually for each county. This ratio is based on a sample of selected real estate transactions within a county and equals the assessed valuation divided by the actual sales price. The county populations were provided by the Office of State Budget and Management and are estimates as of July 1, 2007. The tax rate equivalents and effective tax rates were calculated by the staff of the Department of State Treasurer. The average tax rates in this year's report are calculated on a dollar-weighted average basis. All data included in this report are the most recently available information. If you have any questions concerning this memorandum, please contact George Harris at (919) 807-2387.

		General Fu	und						Unit	-Wide						
		Fund	FBA As %		Invest		Latest Yr/	January 1, 2007		Assess	2007-08	Pe	ercent Collecte	d Motor	2007-08	
		Balance	Percent	Cash and	Earnings	Tax Rate	Next Yr Of	Assessed	Tax	-to-Sales	Tax Rate	All	Motor	Vehicles	Amount	Tax Rate
County	Pop	Available	GF Exp	Invest (1)	Amt (1)	Equiv	Reval (2)	Valuation (3)	Rate	Ratio	Adjusted	Property	Vehicles	Only	Uncoll	Equiv
100,000 and Abov		# 10.000 F00	1 × 10 d	21.560.940	¢ 2212.270	0000	9001 / 9000	# 10.000 1F0.001	<b>F</b> 000	07.00	F0F1	00.54	05.00	05.00	® 1,000,045	0100
Alamance Buncombe	143,154 225,609	\$ 18,922,786 46,578,265	15.18 \$ 17.78	31,560,840 135,310,229	\$ 2,212,370 7,316,068	.0208 .0269	2001 / 2009 2006 / 2010	\$ 10,628,150,861 27,241,629,859	.5800 .5250	87.08 83.60	.5051 .4389	96.74 99.13	97.99 99.54	85.83 93.37	\$ 1,996,347 1,248,334	.0188
Cabarrus	164,384	36,636,176	19.50	78,942,139	4,688,164	.0288	2004 / 2008	16,260,119,680	.6300	100.00	.6300	98.35	99.16	89.95	1,699,728	.0105
Catawba	153,404	35,854,943	20.25	147,994,026	6,461,677	.0453	2007 / 2011	14,261,245,788	.5350	97.94	.5240	96.77	97.91	84.16	2,524,724	.0177
Cumberland	313,616	67,191,613	22.83	184,578,017	7,937,111	.0487	2003 / 2009	16,300,393,780	.8800	81.72	.7191	97.05	99.13	81.56	4,262,991	.0262
Davidson	156,400	28,181,433	22.45	59,057,823	2,818,948	.0225	2007 / 2015	12,531,767,648	.5400	99.98	.5399	96.47	97.61	85.59	2,362,306	.0189
Durham	254,740	65,754,547	15.87	350,628,229	8,620,474	.0372	2001 / 2008	23,157,807,820	.8340	100.00	.8340	98.73	99.43	90.76	4,016,779	.0173
Forsyth	338,679	87,684,255	23.83	117,021,216	5,695,785	.0186	2005 / 2009	30,657,283,958	.6960	92.10	.6410	98.18	98.96	89.24	3,889,942	.0127
Gaston	200,972	50,837,295	27.07	134,572,743	4,590,352	.0327	2007 / 2011	14,039,366,707	.8400	93.27	.7835	95.75	96.92	85.05	5,046,750	.0359
Guilford	460,780	81,520,888	15.13	172,400,282	11,303,459	.0262	2004 / 2012	43,194,573,034	.6914	91.60	.6333	98.42	99.09	91.22	4,716,670	.0109
Harnett	106,506	13,847,250	14.10	34,576,677	2,890,521	.0526	2003 / 2009	5,491,075,857	.7350	81.98	.6026	96.93	98.65	85.35	1,234,241	.0225
Henderson	102,142	24,598,924	22.63	42,724,908	2,162,771	.0173	2007 / 2011	$12,\!478,\!721,\!519$	.4620	90.75	.4193	97.60	98.34	88.30	1,364,105	.0109
Iredell	150,421	31,201,677	20.02	52,091,944	3,429,310	.0181	2007 / 2011	18,996,373,630	.4450	92.10	.4098	97.58	98.37	88.28	2,063,612	.0109
Johnston	157,296	35,055,264	19.62	88,929,689	3,462,389	.0319	2003 / 2011	10,870,368,692	.7800	85.55	.6673	98.58	99.60	91.02	1,219,022	.0112
Mecklenburg	863,147	265,280,017	20.17	431,870,021	20,452,990	.0219	2003 / 2009	93,561,997,236	.8387	82.90	.6953	97.54	98.52	85.96	19,431,223	.0208
New Hanover	189,922	42,242,904	16.09	188,408,258	7,355,808	.0224	2007 / 2011	32,790,806,076	.4200	93.69	.3935	98.26	99.12	85.52	2,428,196	.0074
Onslow	169,302	30,148,854	22.46	61,013,362	2,990,334	.0267	2006 / 2010	11,212,337,238	.5030	82.70	.4160	96.54	97.62	83.80	1,962,002	.0175
Orange	127,344	25,803,324	15.09	75,740,746	3,481,799	.0278	2005 / 2009	12,516,765,925	.9500	79.33	.7536	98.69	99.15	92.45	1,559,475	.0125
Pitt	151,970	23,809,532	17.74	35,315,081	3,192,709	.0318	2004 / 2008	10,050,462,152	.7100	99.44	.7060	97.13	97.61	93.13	2,055,273	.0204
Randolph	139,422	32,526,349	29.06	46,716,989	2,756,341	.0273	2007 / 2013	10,087,548,022	.5350	92.43	.4945	98.19	99.39	88.32	978,017	.0097
Robeson	129,425	24,938,241	21.61	53,844,663	2,239,943	.0416	2005 / 2010	5,378,509,772	.8000	84.40	.6752	90.47	92.94	75.98	4,139,393	.0770
Rowan	136,486	31,239,811	23.99	54,513,477	3,336,425	.0294	2007 / 2011	11,345,799,236	.5950	96.72	.5755	97.06	97.80	89.72	2,009,767	.0177
Union	182,344	35,345,281	16.52	156,595,768	8,900,822	.0509	2004 / 2008	17,475,253,325	.7111	96.45	.6859	97.57	98.39	89.77	3,012,210	.0172
Wake	832,590	87,864,858	9.57	561,892,497	26,219,930	.0316	2000 / 2008	83,045,634,904	.6780	99.91	.6774	98.70	99.59	89.97	7,531,147	.0091
Wayne	115,225	23,951,480	23.93	60,091,195	2,389,502	.0376	2003 / 2011	6,360,004,930	.7640	82.81	.6327	95.53	97.32	83.24	2,145,145	.0337
Total	;	\$ 1,247,015,967		\$ 3,356,390,819	\$ 156,906,002	1		\$ 549,933,997,649	•						\$ 84,897,399	•
Group Statisti 100,000 and Ab																
Range: Lowest		\$ 13,847,250	9.57			.0173			.4200	79.33	.3935	90.47	92.94	75.98		
Highest		\$ 265,280,017	29.06			.0526			.9500	100.00	.8340	99.13	99.60	93.37		
Average		\$ 49,880,639	18.26			.0285			.6827	90.78	.6198	97.83	98.77	88.02		

		General Fu	ınd						Unit	-Wide							
County	Pop	Fund Balance Available	FBA As % Percent GF Exp	Cash and Invest (1)	Invest Earnings Amt (1)	Tax Rate Equiv	Latest Yr/ Next Yr Of Reval (2)	January 1, 2007 Assessed Valuation (3)	Tax Rate	Assess -to-Sales Ratio	2007-08 Tax Rate Adjusted	Po 2007-08 All Property	ercent Collected Excluding Motor Vehicles	d Motor Vehicles Only	A	2007-08 Amount Uncoll	Tax Rate Equiv
50,000 - 99,999	00.440	A *0.000.0¥4	00.00 #	120 250 700	ф. 5.550.262	0155	0005 / 0011	A 01 000 000 005	00.50	00.05	2001	05.40	05.00	00.41	Φ.	0.405.000	0050
Brunswick	99,440 88,439	\$ 53,893,856 12,839,009	33.86 \$ 18.16	128,350,788 22,152,826	\$ 5,558,362 1,207,473	.0177	2007 / 2011 2007 / 2011	\$ 31,339,363,667	.3050 .5200	98.07 96.41	.2991 .5013	97.48 95.89	97.83 96.87	88.41 85.86	\$	2,437,893 1,393,427	.0078 .0215
Burke Caldwell	79,376	11,193,918	16.32	18,214,445	1,560,618	.0186 .0297	2007 / 2011	6,492,242,959 5,252,187,108	.6599	96.41 85.85	.5665	93.56	94.83	81.93		2,221,215	.0423
Cardwell	63,294	30,972,233	40.48	42,366,120	2,003,725	.0108	2005 / 2009	18,624,543,772	.2300	98.40	.2263	95.56	94.83 98.05	88.20		1,015,373	.0423
Chatham	59,168	16,752,378	23.20	76,906,669	3,030,419	.0108	2007 / 2011	7,038,498,207	.6170	88.63	.5468	97.74	98.20	91.82		994,019	.0033
Cleveland	97,144	20,540,024	24.54	50,840,382	2,811,779	.0463	2004 / 2008	6,077,970,562	.7300	97.85	.7143	95.11	96.63	83.88		1,737,213	.0286
Columbus	54,460	8,472,963	14.79	15,367,259	743,821	.0229	2004 / 2008	3,246,740,707	.8150	84.66	.6900	94.54	97.59	72.51		1,442,525	.0444
Craven	96,406	17,791,478	18.67	45,745,330	2,491,710	.0370	2003 / 2013	6,729,714,449	.6100	66.15	.4035	98.23	99.33	90.20		724,186	.0108
Duplin	53,133	11,607,071	23.42	29,323,600	1,388,150	.0458	2001 / 2009	3,033,211,308	.7900	78.00	.6162	94.36	96.75	77.83		1,366,158	.0450
Edgecombe	51,813	12,214,836	22.10	18,191,902	1,066,413	.0430	2001 / 2009	2,478,718,410	.9400	75.97	.7141	91.99	93.83	79.87		1,892,097	.0763
Franklin	56,456	15,581,970	24.02	22,501,080	1,103,008	.0294	2004 / 2010	3,756,597,793	.8225	90.13	.7413	96.41	97.95	83.76		1,109,818	.0295
Granville	55,667	17,046,320	36.26	29,088,259	1,505,114	.0431	2002 / 2010	3,490,649,159	.7550	86.10	.6501	95.63	97.31	81.92		1,153,233	.0330
Halifax	55,352	23,977,205	39.39	38,736,988	1,777,078	.0523	2007 / 2015	3,397,265,376	.6800	86.24	.5864	96.96	97.84	89.41		728,249	.0214
Haywood	57,031	6,707,909	9.35	15,136,122	961,897	.0142	2006 / 2010	6,753,069,943	.4970	84.15	.4182	97.02	97.94	85.49		1,005,666	.0149
Lee	56,376	11,040,517	18.32	19,596,594	909,647	.0196	2007 / 2011	4,631,789,395	.7500	93.94	.7046	96.93	98.06	85.95		1,063,747	.0230
Lenoir	57,642	17,708,779	31.51	82,971,038	1,761,749	.0524	2001 / 2009	3,362,920,813	.8400	91.05	.7648	94.47	96.37	81.02		1,563,444	.0465
Lincoln	72,776	10,239,759	11.24	38,086,439	2,093,552	.0324	2004 / 2008	6,462,239,858	.6100	99.19	.6051	97.81	98.68	90.07		872,468	.0135
Moore	83,932	25,040,332	31.37	42,881,048	2,157,730	.0192	2007 / 2011	11,228,218,009	.4450	94.12	.4188	99.28	99.66	94.04		360,366	.0032
Nash	92,915	22,917,619	27.04	43,417,741	2,224,082	.0371	2001 / 2009	5,987,799,990	.7000	81.92	.5734	96.12	97.82	82.79		1,578,450	.0264
Pender	50,430	30,056,967	66.92	38,025,630	2,011,297	.0447	2003 / 2011	4,503,389,963	.6500	55.66	.3618	96.38	97.87	82.18		1,057,248	.0235
Rockingham	91,646	13,970,762	16.62	35,471,448	1,932,745	.0324	2003 / 2009	5,966,080,678	.7050	88.08	.6210	96.30	97.54	86.72		1,560,922	.0262
Rutherford	62,926	9,621,369	16.73	20,884,186	1,217,528	.0212	2007 / 2011	5,737,173,435	.5300	93.24	.4942	96.41	97.40	83.64		1,101,058	.0192
Sampson	64,522	15,615,224	26.28	32,436,259	2,484,527	.0730	2003 / 2011	3,405,104,208	.8100	81.60	.6610	95.11	97.10	83.31		1,354,224	.0398
Stanly	59,158	15,518,171	27.93	22,045,973	1,265,608	.0311	2005 / 2009	4,067,239,897	.6700	81.91	.5488	96.64	97.39	90.15		921,632	.0227
Surry	73,150	23,609,662	31.56	27,666,614	1,814,696	.0376	2004 / 2008	4,830,816,872	.6300	98.78	.6223	97.66	98.51	91.23		715,869	.0148
Wilkes	67,182	8,449,386	12.42	21,409,920	904,786	.0168	2007 / 2011	5,376,287,593	.5700	92.97	.5299	96.59	97.26	90.33		1,067,884	.0199
Wilson	77,970	17,463,135	19.58	40,810,812	1,775,913	.0333	2000 / 2008	5,325,295,038	.7600	100.00	.7600	96.72	98.34	84.02		1,347,415	.0253
Total	=	\$ 480,842,852		1,018,625,472	\$ 49,763,427	:		\$ 178,595,129,169							\$	33,785,799	
Group Statistic 50,000 - 99,999	es:																
Range: Lowest		\$ 6,707,909	9.35			.0108			.2300	55.66	.2263	91.99	93.83	72.51			
Highest		\$ 53,893,856	66.92			.0730			.9400	100.00	.7648	99.28	99.66	94.04			
Average		\$ 17,808,995	24.81			.0279			.5463	89.40	.4884	96.52	97.67	85.47			

		General F	und						Unit	-Wide						
County	Pop	Fund Balance Available	FBA As % Percent GF Exp	Cash and Invest (1)	Invest Earnings Amt (1)	Tax Rate Equiv	Latest Yr/ Next Yr Of Reval (2)	January 1, 2007 Assessed Valuation (3)	Tax Rate	Assess -to-Sales Ratio	2007-08 Tax Rate Adjusted	Pe 2007-08 All Property	ercent Collecte Excluding Motor Vehicles	d Motor Vehicles Only	2007-08 Amount Uncoll	Tax Rate Equiv
25,000 - 49,999	00.050	A 0.05¥ 0.00	0.00 *	7 202 011	Ф 206.002	0114	2005 / 2011	A 0 F00 00F 000	<b>*</b> 0 <b>*</b> 0	0.4 = :	<b>*</b> 0.00	00.00	05.10	00.00		0.00
Alexander	36,656		9.29 \$	. , ,-	\$ 286,892	.0114	2007 / 2011		.5350	94.74	.5069	96.28	97.10	89.28	\$ 498,327	.0199
Anson	25,332	4,520,413	18.26	12,411,478	515,630	.0364	2002 / 2010	1,416,426,583	.8940	67.69	.6051	93.97	95.25	83.23	770,544	
Ashe	26,003	9,214,370	34.84	30,993,683	724,173	.0206	2006 / 2011	3,518,439,676	.3950	78.96	.3119	95.36	96.40	80.70	650,139	
Beaufort	46,070	12,280,907	21.95	20,567,836	1,303,593	.0331	2002 / 2010	3,941,535,473	.6000	68.02	.4081	94.86	96.16	83.27	1,212,588	
Bladen	32,500	8,782,949	23.21	18,399,576	806,455	.0315	2007 / 2015	2,564,054,251	.7400	90.69	.6711	92.70	94.57	76.12	1,390,440	
Cherokee	27,026	4,348,021	13.17	7,586,570	519,613	.0191	2004 / 2008	2,723,028,874	.5200	98.20	.5106	94.40	95.61	81.27	765,553	
Dare	34,272	28,476,104	29.67	89,358,940	5,158,790	.0299	2005 / 2010	17,261,617,941	.2600	92.46	.2404	99.00	99.20	90.11	447,779	
Davie	40,447	10,029,455	20.39	23,440,340 NR	964,333 NR	.0254	2005 / 2009	3,797,201,049	.6600	90.94	.6002	96.93	97.81	88.55	773,413	
Hoke	42,932	7,746,852	26.40			NA	2006 / 2014	2,142,804,041	.7000	95.56	.6689	91.33	95.26	63.45	1,294,392	
Jackson	36,815	17,418,282	38.14	30,793,970	1,100,564	.0157	2004 / 2008	6,994,255,506	.3600	100.00	.3600	96.32	96.83	85.29	925,277	
Macon	33,626	15,625,776	36.53	33,814,709	1,665,844	.0186	2007 / 2011	8,975,358,517	.2450	95.88	.2349	97.38	97.82	87.02	570,932	
McDowell	44,064	5,683,093	15.40	9,837,068	460,908	.0154	2003 / 2011	2,995,234,985	.5500	80.00	.4400	96.50	98.15	82.25	575,872	
Montgomery	27,588	1,523,398	5.42	21,284,667	1,210,734	.0543	2004 / 2012	2,229,099,754	.5800	72.00	.4176	96.51	97.94	82.01	454,369	
Pasquotank	40,880	2,257,106	4.99	9,593,938	1,168,790	.0370	2006 / 2014	3,161,549,114	.5000	85.78	.4289	95.28	96.26	85.10	749,108	
Person	37,640	12,812,413	24.18	16,423,627	855,413	.0222	2005 / 2009	3,846,168,575	.7000	89.03	.6232	97.92	98.70	88.86	558,801	.0145
Richmond	46,672	7,816,914	17.41	43,150,617	556,747	.0228	2004 / 2008	2,441,165,775	.9300	100.00	.9300	95.64	97.25	82.87	991,905	
Scotland	36,830	10,095,651	24.65	14,497,958	756,664	.0399	2003 / 2011	1,898,425,908	1.0600	88.20	.9349	93.76	95.24	82.79	1,266,353	
Stokes	46,257	7,561,504	19.61	11,363,276	554,287	.0171	2005 / 2009	3,248,635,297	.6000	89.47	.5368	96.33	97.65	85.22	715,010	
Transylvania	30,758	13,012,145	22.87	38,858,171	1,799,628	.0447	2002 / 2009	4,030,374,908	.5400	79.71	.4304	99.50	99.89	94.35	107,726	
Vance	43,583	11,979,815	25.61	15,445,744	613,980	.0286	2000 / 2008	2,143,303,321	.9200	100.00	.9200	93.27	93.30	93.09	1,332,674	
Watauga	44,696	13,649,919	22.38	87,352,091	1,036,774	.0124	2006 / 2010	8,343,937,556	.3130	78.38	.2453	97.87	98.23	90.45	556,701	.0067
Yadkin	37,850	9,215,441	27.49	16,211,521	807,807	.0333	2005 / 2009	2,426,582,162	.7600	85.42	.6492	94.18	95.94	80.51	1,071,714	.0442
Total		\$ 216,925,794	\$	558,689,591	\$ 22,867,619	•		\$ 92,605,894,286	•						\$ 17,679,617	-
Group Statistics 25,000 - 49,999	s:															
Range: Lowest		\$ 1,523,398	4.99			.0114			.2450	67.69	.2349	91.33	93.30	63.45		
Highest		\$ 28,476,104	38.14			.0543			1.0600	100.00	.9349	99.50	99.89	94.35		
Average		\$ 9,860,263	22.65			.0247			.4852	87.54	.4248	96.23	97.19	85.37		

		General Fu	ınd						Unit	-Wide						
		Fund	FBA As %		Transact		Latest Yr/	I 1 2007		<b>A</b>	2007-08		ercent Collecte	d Motor	2007-08	
		Balance	Percent	Cash and	Invest Earnings	Tax Rate	Next Yr Of	January 1, 2007 Assessed	Tax	Assess -to-Sales	Tax Rate	2007-08 All	Excluding Motor	Vehicles	Amount	Tax Rate
County	Pop	Available	GF Exp	Invest (1)	Amt (1)	Equiv	Reval (2)	Valuation (3)	Rate	Ratio	Adjusted	Property	Vehicles	Only	Uncoll	Equiv
Below 25,000			•								·					_
Alleghany	11,088	\$ 3,102,934	22.16 \$	12,944,696	\$ 261,290	.0143	2007 / 2015	\$ 1,828,179,959	.4300	89.09	.3831	95.09	95.79	86.65	\$ 377,310	.0206
Avery	18,292	9,430,005	35.37	22,109,415	878,781	.0223	2006 / 2011	3,942,909,677	.3900	71.07	.2772	97.31	98.13	70.58	365,174	.0093
Bertie	19,971	5,571,529	28.41	8,760,878	561,118	.0525	2004 / 2012	1,068,632,013	.7800	88.09	.6871	95.66	97.25	85.45	361,280	.0338
Camden	9,519	4,754,815	41.87	9,535,396	356,642	.0325	2007 / 2015	1,097,700,429	.5900	94.11	.5552	96.21	97.16	87.22	250,382	.0228
Caswell	23,508	3,364,247	14.50	7,902,579	322,421	.0243	2004 / 2008	1,327,878,117	.6720	100.00	.6720	95.42	96.73	83.29	405,888	.0306
Chowan	14,660	(11,216,413)	-57.40	1,018,028	433,061	.0319	2006 / 2014	1,358,713,444	.5600	95.16	.5329	96.90	97.63	89.18	237,569	.0175
Clay	10,326	4,982,855	35.48	9,172,361	322,190	.0229	2002 / 2010	1,408,705,809	.4300	55.49	.2386	96.43	97.16	86.38	216,220	.0153
Currituck	23,731	22,529,398	45.88	56,280,197	4,815,157	.0597	2005 / 2013	8,071,681,839	.3200	85.37	.2732	98.19	98.41	90.62	465,967	.0058
Gates	11,819	1,910,071	18.59	5,024,631	319,624	.0571	2001 / 2009	559,689,322	.9750	43.50	.4241	93.48	95.89	79.48	353,642	.0632
Graham	8,144	NR	NR	NR	NR	NA	2002 / 2010	825,392,310	.6050	51.64	.3124	NR	NR	NR	-	NA
Greene	21,110	2,041,621	11.69	7,679,497	502,479	.0537	2005 / 2013	935,315,257	.7460	89.81	.6700	94.78	96.19	86.04	363,914	.0389
Hertford	23,730	5,401,519	23.34	8,869,314	333,428	.0284	2003 / 2011	1,175,882,991	.9100	83.24	.7575	95.76	96.45	91.03	460,980	.0392
Hyde	5,447	5,203,409	47.50	10,850,308	406,870	.0528	2003 / 2009	770,087,717	.7150	72.43	.5179	92.63	93.63	74.77	414,917	.0539
Jones	10,315	5,360,433	47.40	7,001,105	1,607	.0002	2006 / 2014	701,088,347	.6800	87.44	.5946	94.16	95.94	79.78	277,074	.0395
Madison	20,495	5,024,675	24.92	5,616,574	229,472	.0127	2004 / 2012	1,811,418,436	.5100	65.46	.3338	94.57	95.95	80.29	501,292	.0277
Martin	23,906	5,208,373	18.99	23,235,095	1,138,967	.0767	2001 / 2009	1,485,658,842	.7850	72.91	.5723	93.89	95.22	83.94	714,796	.0481
Mitchell	15,950	4,490,650	29.97	5,829,290	316,061	.0265	2001 / 2009	1,192,178,450	.5200	54.19	.2818	91.57	93.43	78.77	530,443	.0445
Northampton	21,235	3,436,223	12.54	4,766,007	160,959	.0087	2007 / 2011	1,842,615,700	.7800	91.80	.7160	95.98	97.00	85.11	581,389	.0316
Pamlico	12,947	6,260,805	38.93	16,355,144	560,584	.0316	2004 / 2012	1,772,688,492	.6525	48.41	.3159	95.67	96.60	85.47	371,516	.0210
Perquimans	12,722	2,725,179	22.38	6,437,249	539,113	.0542	2000 / 2008	994,577,389	.6700	100.00	.6700	96.29	97.31	87.84	243,113	.0244
Polk	19,040	7,679,650	33.96	9,711,252	695,445	.0363	2001 / 2009	1,916,795,162	.6800	60.80	.4134	97.24	98.07	89.33	351,460	.0183
Swain	13,889	2,499,076	18.62	6,399,385	244,370	.0180	2005 / 2009	1,357,828,371	.3300	66.29	.2188	94.65	96.04	75.03	231,477	.0170
Tyrrell	4,290	1,623,570	29.84	3,612,986	158,015	.0381	2005 / 2009	414,476,347	.7400	78.34	.5797	91.05	92.74	71.07	268,433	.0648
Warren	19,919	6,355,738	24.38	12,532,031	563,411	.0382	2001 / 2009	1,475,291,113	.8400	59.96	.5037	94.05	95.41	77.92	702,471	.0476
Washington	13,214	4,458,651	28.55	6,221,728	300,632	.0394	2005 / 2013	763,797,839	.7900	86.07	.6800	91.64	93.55	75.46	504,205	.0660
Yancey	18,550	(1,419,867)	-8.35	300,390	45,526	.0027	2000 / 2008	1,663,986,417	.5000	99.74	.4987	95.19	96.80	80.89	388,321	.0233
Total	-	\$ 110,779,146	ş	268,165,536	\$ 14,467,223	1		\$ 41,763,169,789	ı						\$ 9,939,233	-
Group Statistic Below 25,000	s:															
Range: Lowest		\$ (11,216,413)	-57.40			.0002			.3200	43.50	.2188	91.05	92.74	70.58		
Highest		\$ 22,529,398	47.50			.0767			.9750	100.00	.7575	98.19	98.41	91.03		
Average		\$ 4,431,166	23.62			.0346			.5553	74.12	.4116	95.55	96.63	84.01		

Summary of Cash and Investments, Property Tax Levies and General Fund Balance Available
For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2008

		General l	Fund						Uni	it-Wide						
County	Pop	Fund Balance Available	FBA As % Percent GF Exp	Cash and Invest (1)	Invest Earnings Amt (1)	Tax Rate Equiv	Latest Yr/ Next Yr Of Reval (2)	January 1, 2007 Assessed Valuation (3)	Tax Rate	Assess -to-Sales Ratio	2007-08 Tax Rate Adjusted	Po 2007-08 All Property	ercent Collecte Excluding Motor Vehicles	ed Motor Vehicles Only	2007-08 Amount Uncoll	Tax Rate Equiv

All Counties Statewide			\$ 5,201,871,418 \$ 24	44,004,271		\$ 862,898,190,893							\$ 146,302,048
Range:													
Lowest	\$ (11,216,413)	-57.40			.0002		.2300	43.50	.2188	90.47	92.74	63.45	
Highest	\$ 265,280,017	66.92			.0767		1.0600	100.00	.9349	99.50	99.89	94.35	
Average	\$ 20,763,270	20.16			.0283		.6271	89.17	.5592	97.38	98.37	87.20	

#### **Explanation of Column Headings:**

- (1) Amounts are net of unexpended debt proceeds and interest earned thereon.
- (2) Last year in which all real property was appraised; revaluation was effective on January 1 of that year. Counties are required to revalue property at a minimum of every eight years. Except for revaluations made in year 2008, the year shown for next scheduled general revaluation is the year reported by the county in July, 2008.
- (3) Assessed valuation is based on real property values that were determined as of January 1 in the year of revaluation. This number is adjusted annually for discoveries, abatements, improvements, and any other changes that materially affect real property values. Assessed valuation also includes personal property, which is valued annually on a calendar year basis and titled motor vehicles which are valued as of January 1 preceding the date a new vehicle registration is applied for or a current vehicle registration is renewed.

NR: AFIR Report not submitted